

EFFICACY OF INSECTICIDES AGAINST MAJOR INSECTS OF TOMATO IN MANIPUR

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of some insecticides viz., Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (11 ga.i./ha), imidacloprid 17.8% SL (22.5 g a.i/ha), Neem oil (3ml/l), *Beauveria bassiana* (0.2ml/l), fipronil 5% SC (50 g a.i/ha) against major pests of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). There were six treatments arranged in randomized block design with four replications. Fruit borer, whiteflies, aphids and leaf miner were found to be the major insect pests of Tomato. Among the insecticide used, imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found to be the most effective for management of sucking pest viz., Whiteflies, Aphids, Thrips, Mealybugs, Jassids while emamectin benzoate was found more effective on tomato fruit borer. *Beauveria bassiana* was found least effective against all the insect pests.

Keywords: Tomato; Insect pests; Efficacy; Insecticides.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Mill.) is cultivated widely both for fresh market and processing. It is said to be a native of tropical America. Tomato tops in the list of canned vegetables and are used to produce sauce, chutney, juice, ketchup, puree, paste and powder. It is a perennial in its native habitat, although often grown outdoors in temperate climates as an annual herb. In India, tomato crop is mainly grown in the states of Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal, Karnataka, Jharkhand, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan etc. Being a major vegetable in India, tomato is cultivated in 789.15 thousand ha area in India with 19759.32 MT production and 25.03 tons per hectare productivity. Assam has the highest production of tomato in North East India which produces 396.24 MT with an area of 18.28 Ha. In Manipur, tomato is grown over an area of 3.15 ha with a production of 33.72 MT [1].

Insect pest act as a limiting factor in harvesting high yields of healthy and quality of tomato fruits. However, this important crop is attacked by about 16 insects and other pest species which caused damage to tomato crop in India. The key insect pests of tomato include fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*), cutworms (*Arrotisipilon*), aphids (*Myzus persicae*), thrips (*Ceratohripoides caratis*), white flies (*Bemisia tabaci*), leaf miners (*Liriomyza trifolii*), flea beetles (*Chalaenosom metallicum*), leaf bug (*Nesidiocoris tenuis*), green bug (*Nezarabiguttula*), mealy bug (*Pseudococcus cryptus*), spotted beetle, tomato hornworms, tobacco hornworms and Colorado potato beetles [2]. Among the various pests, the tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is the most destructive due to its direct attack on fruits, high mobility, voracious feeding habit, high fecundity, multivoltine and overlapping generations. Several sucking pests cause appreciable damage to crop [3]. *Bemisia tabaci* alone can cause 10-90% damage depending upon the severity of the infestation and also transmits tomato yellow curl viruses [4]. The serpentine leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) significantly reduced the yield and fruit quality by direct feeding [5].

Crop protection using chemicals is desirable and unavoidable part of integrated pest management [6]. The deployment of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) for the control of crop pests is an important alternative to synthetic pesticides. *Beauveria bassiana* is known to be pathogenic to the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) [7]. The lowest whitefly population (2.18 adults/leaf) was recorded in imidacloprid 17.8 SC @ 0.005 % (2.8 ml/10 L of water) followed by 2.22 adults/leaf in dimethoate 30 EC @ 0.03 % (10 ml/10 L of water) and azadirachtin 3000 ppm at 3 ml/litre of water (5.69 adult/leaf) [8]. The idea of controlling insect pests by using various agro-techniques in combination with selective use of insecticides making compatible with other components of the management of tomato insect pests are gaining importance as the most effective measure. Hence, the study on the efficacy of insecticides against major insect pest of tomato was undertaken.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during February to June, 2021 in a farm in Yurembam, Patsoi, Imphal West Manipur located at 24° 45' N latitude and 93° 56' E longitudes at an altitude of 790 m above Mean Sea

Level (MSL) to study the efficacy of insecticides against major insect pest of tomato in Manipur. The climate was humid subtropical with mild and dry winter having an average rainfall ranging from 974-2646 mm annually. The mean temperature during summer was 17- 30°C and 4-25°C during winter with a relative humidity of 36-100. The soil is alluvial and acidic in nature. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 6 treatments each replicated thrice. Tomato variety Sultan was sown at spacing of 75 cm plant to plant and 45 cm row to row. All the 24 plots were given uniform intercultural operations during the entire growth period of study. Irrigation was given every day during the initial stage of the plant growth and afterward regularly at 3-5 days interval. 1st spray was given at 50% flowering stage and 2nd spraying at 15 days interval. Pre-treatment count was done one day before both first and second sprayings and post-treatment count was recorded at 3, 7, 10, and 14 days after spraying for both the sprayings to observe the efficacy of different insecticides.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Efficacy of treated insecticides on the seven major insect pests observed on **tomato is being** discussed below.

Efficacy of insecticides against Aphids, *Aphis gossypii*

The data obtained from the *Aphis gossypii* population are depicted in Table 1. Among the insecticides used, Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found to be most effective against *Aphis gossypii* (70.67%). The findings are similar to Nderituet *al.* [9] who recorded lowest aphid population in plot treated with imidacloprid (350 g L⁻¹) causing more than 95 per cent aphid population reduction and slightly higher yields. The findings are in close relation with Ghosale *et al.* [10] who reported that imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹ most effective against aphid showing least aphid infestation and 84.54 per cent reduction of population over control. **In contrast to the present finding, Wade *et al.* [11] reported that that *Lecanicilliumlecanii* were found to be most effective for aphid. The variation may be due to difference in climatic condition. Singh *et al.* (12) also found that neem and bakain were most effective treatments against aphids, *A. gossypii*.**

Efficacy of insecticides against Whiteflies, *Bemisiatabaci*

The highest per cent **reduction** (Table 2) of whitefly population was observed on 10 days post spray in both first and second sprays. Among the insecticides used, Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found to be most effective (68.47%) against *Bemisiatabaci*. The per cent reduction of whitefly population (65.84% and 67.56% at first spray and second spray respectively) on 7 days post spray is supported by the studies done by Asifet *al.* [13] who reported that imidacloprid was highly effective with maximum reduction (63.24% at first spray and 66.77% at second spray) of whitefly population. Our findings are in agreement with Thorat *et al.* [14] who proved that imidacloprid 17.8 SL was the most effective for suppressing the whitefly population in tomato crop under field condition. **But Wade *et al.* [11] found *Beauveriabassiana* to be most effective for whitefly which is contrary to the present findings.**

Efficacy of insecticides against Thrips, *Scirtothripsdorsalis*

Among the insecticides used (Table 3), Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found to be most effective (67.47%) against *Scirtothripsdorsalis*. The per cent reduction of thrips population (66.15% and at first spray and 66.47% at second spray) respectively on 7 days post spray is supported by the studies done by Asifet *al.* [13] who reported that imidacloprid was highly effective with maximum reduction (68.51% at first spray and 70.35% at second spray) of thrips population. **Bambhaniya *et al.* [15] reported that imidacloprid 0.005 per cent gave very good results against aphid which is in agreement with the present findings.** In contrast to this, Singh *et al.* [12] found that neem and bakain were most effective treatments against aphids, *A. gossypii* and thrips, *Scirtothripsdorsalis*.

Efficacy of insecticides against Mealy bugs, *Phenacoccussolenopsis*

The highest per cent reduction of **mealy bug's** population (Table 4) was found on 10 days post spray in both first and second sprays. Among the insecticides used, Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found to be most effective at first (74.92%) and second spray (76.36%) against *Phenacoccussolenopsis*. **It was followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG, Fipronil 5% SC and Neem oil. The mean reduction of the pest by Neem oil and Fipronil 5% were at par with each other. *Beauveriabassiana* was found to be least effective against this pest. These results were in conformity with El-Mageedet. *al.* [16] who reported that Imidacloprid reduced**

Phenacoccussolenopsis population up to 77.82 per cent on cotton.

Efficacy of insecticides against Jassids, *Amrascabiguttulabiguttula*

Among the insecticides used (Table 5), the mean reduction was found to be highest in Imidacloprid 17.8% SL treated plots (67.32%) against *Amrascabiguttulabiguttula*. **It was followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG, Fipronil 5% SC and Neem oil.** However, Ghosaland Chatterjee [17] reported that highest percent

reduction of jassid by Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was 84.72 per cent. This discrepancy between the two results might be because of the different degree of effectiveness of the pesticides on two dissimilar crops. The per cent reduction of jassid population (65.66% and 66.49% at first spray and second spray respectively) on 7 days post spray is supported by the studies done by Asifet *et al.* [13] who reported that imidacloprid was highly effective with maximum reduction (66.66% at first spray and 63.49% at second spray) of jassid population.

Efficacy of insecticides against Leaf miners, *Liriomyzabryoniae*

Among the insecticides used (Table 6) against leaf miner, *Liriomyzabryoniae*, Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was found to be most effective (65.17%) against *Liriomyzabryoniae*. The per cent reduction at second spray were; Imidacloprid 17.8% SL (65.24). Hence, the mean reduction of the pest population was highest for Imidacloprid 17.8% SL (58.64%) and the lowest was for *Beauveriabassiana* (43.49%). Our findings are in contrast with Abdelgaleil *et al.* [18] who reported that Imidacloprid was least effective with infestation reductions of 9.3 per cent against tomato leaf miner, *Tutaabsoluta*. Sapkalet *et al.* [19] also reported that chlorantraniliprole 18.5 % SC was found to be most effective against tomato leaf miner, *Tutaabsoluta* (meyrick) and Tokhyet *et al.* [20] found that the Chlorantraniliprole insecticide alone and their mixture (Chlorantraniliprole + Fipronil and Chlorantraniliprole + Indoxacarb) were highly effective in relation to tomato leaf miner larva reduction which is also contrary to our present findings.

Efficacy of insecticides against Fruit borers, *Helicoverpaarmigera*

As the fruit borer was not present before the fruiting stage, there was no per cent reduction of the insect in the first spray. In second spray, highest per cent reduction was found on 10 days post spray. Among the insecticides (Table 7) used, emamectin benzoate 5% SG was found to be most effective (62.92%) against *Helicoverpaarmigera*. This is in accordance with the findings of El-Fakhoret *et al* [21] who reported that under field conditions, emamectin benzoate was found to be highly effective in reducing the *H. armigera* larval population, pod damage and significantly increased grain yields by 25.8 per cent compared to the untreated plots. Das and Khatum [22] and Wade *et al.* [11] also found that Suspend 5SG (Emamectin Benzoate) @ 1.0 was found to be the most effective against tomato fruit borer. But, in contrast to the present findings, Kumar *et al.* [23] observed that Indoxacarb 14.5SC @ 1.0 ml lit-1 was found to be very effective against *Helicoverpaarmigera* followed by Fipronil 5SC @ 1.0 ml lit-1 in reducing the larval population and Kachaveet *et al.* [24] also observed the minimum mean larval population of *H. armigera* was observed in Flubendiamide 20WG @ 100 g/ha (0.54 larva/plant).

A	B
---	---



Fig 1 A, B. *Helicoverpaarmigerainfestation* at fruiting stage

Table 1. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Aphids, *Aphis gossypii* on Tomato During February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray					Second spray					Mean
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				
		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS	
Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	2.50	52.65 (46.52)	60.12 (50.86)	67.74 (55.41)	64.75 (53.60)	1.70	52.78 (46.59)	60.97 (51.38)	68.33 (55.80)	65.00 (53.74)	61.54
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL@ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	2.55	58.58 (49.95)	68.29 (55.76)	78.15 (62.20)	75.32 (60.24)	1.65	60.70 (51.20)	70.27 (57.08)	79.40 (63.17)	74.62 (59.87)	70.67
Neem oil @ 3ml//lt of water: (T ₃)	2.43	48.33 (44.04)	56.44 (48.76)	62.69 (52.51)	58.52 (49.96)	1.40	48.21 (43.97)	56.70 (48.86)	62.65 (52.35)	60.86 (51.30)	56.80
<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lt of water: (T ₄)	2.85	38.86 (38.50)	46.98 (43.22)	54.17 (47.42)	50.46 (45.28)	1.85	37.55 (37.56)	45.69 (42.52)	56.19 (48.58)	52.23 (46.28)	47.77
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lt of water: (T ₅)	2.70	50.76 (45.44)	57.42 (49.27)	63.87 (53.06)	60.23 (50.91)	2.05	51.14 (45.65)	58.84 (50.10)	65.47 (54.07)	63.20 (52.66)	58.87
Untreated control: (T ₀)	2.10	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	3.10	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00
Sem±	0.15	1.55	1.97	1.94	1.89	0.16	1.65	1.37	1.47	1.46	-
CD (P=0.05)	NS	4.66	5.93	5.84	5.71	NS	4.97	4.13	4.43	4.40	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.
NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance.

Table 2. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Whiteflies, *Bemisiatabaci* on Tomato During February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray					Second spray					Mean
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				
		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS	
Emamectin	2.8	52.20	58.53	65.80	60.20	1.8	55.59	61.49	68.65	65.83	61.04

benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	0	46.26	49.94	54.26	50.90	0	48.21	51.94	56.32	54.48	
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL@ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	2.3	57.59	65.84	75.69	73.44	1.7	58.31	67.56	76.05	73.24	68.47
	0	49.45	54.27	60.52	59.03	0	49.81	55.31	60.75	58.89	
Neem oil @ 3ml/lit of water: (T ₃)	2.5	48.58	54.67	60.46	57.42	1.8	48.21	55.89	62.58	58.75	55.82
	5	44.14	47.69	51.10	49.32	5	43.97	49.77	52.30	50.19	
<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lit of water: (T ₄)	2.7	36.21	39.33	46.48	40.55	2.0	37.34	40.10	48.05	42.37	41.30
	0	36.99	38.82	42.98	39.54	0	37.66	39.21	43.78	40.52	
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lit of water: (T ₅)	2.6	50.65	56.57	62.97	60.40	1.8	50.42	56.25	64.17	60.83	57.78
	5	45.37	48.78	52.53	51.01	0	45.24	48.62	53.27	51.27	
Untreated control: (T ₀)	2.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	5	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Sem±	0.2	1.80	1.35	1.33	1.53	0.2	1.11	1.49	1.95	1.34	-
CD (P=0.05)	NS	5.43	4.06	4.00	4.63	NS	3.34	4.49	5.88	4.03	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.
NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance.

Table 3. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Thrips, *Scirtothrips dorsalis* on Tomato during February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray				Second spray				Mean		
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				
		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS		10 DAS	14 DAS
Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	2.0	52.18	57.56	66.48	62.44	2.0	52.07	58.70	67.10	63.40	59.99
	5	46.25	49.37	54.62	52.22	0	46.20	50.07	55.02	52.95	
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL@ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	2.3	56.79	66.15	75.32	70.02	2.1	55.81	66.47	76.18	72.98	67.47
	5	48.93	54.43	60.24	56.85	0	48.35	54.65	60.85	58.72	
Neem oil @ 3ml/lit of water: (T ₃)	2.2	48.52	55.30	62.44	57.83	1.7	49.35	55.82	64.12	58.75	56.52
	0	44.15	48.05	52.22	49.51	0	44.63	48.36	53.21	50.04	
<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lit of water: (T ₄)	2.6	35.86	38.58	47.51	40.42	1.7	35.73	40.78	47.96	42.34	41.15
	5	36.75	38.38	43.56	39.45	5	36.70	39.67	43.83	40.58	
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lit of water: (T ₅)	2.2	50.44	56.69	62.09	60.61	1.6	52.92	57.54	62.90	60.11	57.91
	0	45.25	48.85	52.02	51.13	5	46.68	49.36	52.48	50.84	
Untreated control: (T ₀)	2.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Sem±	0.2	1.38	1.00	1.15	1.20	0.2	1.28	1.29	1.12	1.44	-
CD (P=0.05)	NS	4.16	3.01	3.47	3.60	NS	3.87	3.89	3.37	4.33	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.
NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance.

Table 4. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Mealy Bug, *Phenacoccusolenopsis* on Tomato During February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray				Second spray				Mean		
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				
		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS		10 DAS	14 DAS
Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	1.85	52.52 46.45	56.79 48.93	65.75 54.20	60.70 51.18	1.90	52.41 46.38	57.63 49.41	66.44 54.61	59.72 50.61	59.00
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL@ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	1.65	55.49 48.15	65.24 53.88	74.92 60.03	70.62 57.20	1.60	55.52 48.17	67.11 55.02	76.36 60.98	72.63 58.46	67.24
Neem oil @ 3ml/lt of water: (T ₃)	1.85	47.52 43.57	55.16 48.00	62.20 52.09	58.73 50.06	1.65	48.26 43.99	54.67 47.69	62.40 52.21	57.80 49.50	55.84
<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lt of water: (T ₄)	1.80	38.82 38.54	47.36 43.49	56.67 48.84	50.69 45.40	1.95	37.68 37.86	48.32 44.04	57.78 49.50	50.36 45.20	48.46
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lt of water: (T ₅)	1.65	50.50 45.28	55.67 48.27	62.92 52.50	57.46 49.29	1.75	50.10 45.05	56.77 48.93	62.62 52.34	58.55 49.97	56.82
Untreated control: (T ₀)	1.65	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	2.45	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00
Sem±	0.23	1.18	1.41	1.25	1.38	0.19	1.18	1.57	1.21	1.11	-
CD (P=0.05)	NS	3.55	4.26	3.75	4.17	NS	3.56	4.72	3.66	3.36	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.
NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance

Table 5. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Jassids, *Amrascabiguttulabiguttula* on Tomato during February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray				Second spray				Mean		
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				
		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS		10 DAS	14 DAS
Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	1.23	52.50 46.44	57.71 49.45	65.83 54.26	61.88 51.89	1.30	52.64 46.51	58.06 49.14	67.50 55.25	62.92 52.51	59.88
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL@ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	1.55	56.40 48.69	65.66 54.17	75.93 60.66	70.50 57.15	1.25	55.41 48.12	66.49 54.70	75.34 60.27	72.86 58.62	67.32
Neem oil @ 3ml/lt of water: (T ₃)	1.90	47.47 43.54	56.70 48.85	60.71 51.21	54.91 47.82	1.40	47.92 43.80	54.43 47.54	60.42 51.04	56.25 48.60	54.85
<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lt of water: (T ₄)	1.60	42.65 40.77	47.17 43.38	53.07 46.76	50.24 45.14	1.20	44.87 42.05	48.44 44.10	55.65 48.25	52.68 46.54	49.35
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lt of water: (T ₅)	1.25	50.89 45.51	57.46 49.29	62.17 52.05	60.80 51.24	1.40	52.64 46.51	58.54 49.93	64.69 53.57	60.63 51.16	58.48
Untreated control:	1.6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(T ₀)	0	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	0	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
Sem±	0.31	1.25	1.34	1.23	1.10	0.17	1.19	1.29	1.24	1.22	-
CD (P=0.05)	NS	3.76	4.03	3.70	3.31	NS	3.58	3.88	3.75	3.68	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.
NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance

Table 6. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Leaf Miner, *Liriomyzabryoniae* on Tomato during February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray					Second spray					Mean
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				
		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS	
Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	2.75	44.49 41.83	48.44 44.10	56.54 48.77	52.83 46.63	1.45	44.97 42.11	48.61 44.20	57.12 49.09	53.47 47.00	50.81
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL @ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	2.45	50.30 45.17	58.23 49.75	65.17 53.83	62.39 52.19	1.65	50.49 45.28	57.18 49.15	65.24 53.88	60.11 50.84	58.64
Neem oil @ 3ml/lt of water: (T ₃)	2.35	40.22 39.35	45.52 42.42	52.39 46.37	47.30 43.45	1.50	41.85 40.31	49.76 44.86	54.58 47.64	48.21 43.97	47.48
<i>Beauveriabassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lt of water: (T ₄)	2.35	35.64 36.61	42.49 40.68	50.51 45.29	44.28 41.71	1.30	36.61 37.18	42.52 40.69	52.46 46.41	43.42 41.20	43.49
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lt of water: (T ₅)	2.00	42.64 40.76	47.22 43.41	55.28 48.04	50.00 45.00	1.75	42.78 40.84	48.61 44.20	55.28 48.05	50.56 45.32	49.05
Untreated control: (T ₀)	2.75	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.85	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00
Sem±	0.22	1.11	1.22	1.38	1.26	0.16	1.19	1.43	1.21	1.15	-
CD (P=0.05)	NS	3.35	3.69	4.16	3.81	NS	3.58	4.32	3.65	3.45	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.
NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance

Table 7. Effect of Different Pesticides Against Fruit Borers, *Helicoverpaarmigera* on Tomato during February 2021 to June 2021

Treatments	First spray					Second spray					Mean
	Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				Pre-treatment count	Percent reduction				

		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS		3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	14 DAS	
Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @ 11 g a.i./ha: (T ₁)	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.25	50.00 45.00	55.65 48.25	62.92 52.52	60.24 50.98	57.20
Imidacloprid 17.8% SL @ 68g a.i. /ha: (T ₂)	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.35	48.75 44.28	55.72 48.29	60.49 51.06	56.97 49.01	55.48
Neem oil @ 3ml/lit of water: (T ₃)	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.45	44.44 41.77	50.69 45.40	55.56 48.23	52.43 46.39	50.78
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 0.2 ml/lit of water: (T ₄)	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.50	40.97 39.77	44.10 41.61	48.26 44.00	42.01 40.37	43.84
Fipronil 5% SC @ 50 g/lit of water: (T ₅)	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.50	46.53 43.00	52.78 46.60	58.68 50.01	55.21 48.00	53.30
Untreated control: (T ₀)	0.00	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.65	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00
Sem±	-	-	-	-	-	0.16	1.34	1.21	1.46	1.72	-
CD (P=0.05)	-	-	-	-	-	NS	4.04	3.66	4.39	5.19	-

Note: Figures in the table are mean values and those in parenthesis are angular transformed values.

NS: Non-significant at 5% level of significance

4. CONCLUSIONS

Tomato is attacked by several insect pests in all the stages from vegetative till harvesting which needs serious attention of researchers. Out of seven insect pests found, fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) and leaf miner, *Liriomyza bryoniae* Kaltenbach (Diptera: Agromyzidae) were found to be major insect pests. Among the insecticides used, Imidacloprid 17.8% SL was most effective against aphid, whitefly, leaf miner, and thrips. Emamectin benzoate 5% SG was found to be most effective against *Helicoverpa armigera*. From this experiment, it is evident that new insecticides are effective in minimizing the population of different pests of tomato. It is suggested that further investigation should be carried out to study the reduced efficacy of certain insecticides so as to know the concrete results on their efficacy.

REFERENCES

Saxena M, Kumar P, Gupta RP, Bhargav H, Thakur B. State-wise Area and Production for Individual Horticulture Crops. *Horticultural Statistics at a Glance*. 2018;203.

Chaudhuri N, Senapati SK. Evaluation of pesticides from different origin-synthetic and biological, against pest complex of tomato under Terai region of West Bengal. *Haryana J HortSci* 2001;30(3/4):274-277.

Butani DK, Jotwani MG. Tomato, in "Insects in Vegetables". Publ.By Periodical Expert Book Agency. Delhi. 1984;22-34.

Rataul HS, Brar JS. Status of tomato leaf curl virus research in India. *Trop Sci.* 1989;29: 111-118.

Rai D, Singh AK, Sushil SN, Rai MK, Gupta JP, Tyagi MP. Efficacy of insecticides against American serpentine leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) on tomato crop in NW region of Uttar Pradesh, India. *Int J Hort.* 2013; 3(5):19- 21.

Mohyuddin AI, Jilani G, Khan AG, Humza AI, Mehmood Z. Integrated pest management of major cotton pests by conservation, redistribution and augmentation of natural enemies. *Pak J Zool.* 1997;29(3):293-298.

Silva ACL, Silva GA, Abib PHN, Carolina AT, Samuels RI. Endophytic colonization of tomato plants by the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* for controlling the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*. *CABI Agric Biosci.* 2020;1(3).

Thorat SS, Kumar S, Patel JD. Bio efficacy of different pesticides against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius) in tomato. *J Entomol Zool Stud.* 2020;8(4):1428-1431.

Nderitu HJ, Kasina M, Gideon N, Waturu CN, Aura J. Management of Thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on French Beans (Fabaceae) in Kenya: Economics of Insecticide Applications. *J Entomol* 2008;5(3):148-155.

Ghosal A, Chatterjee ML. Evaluation of imidacloprid 17.8 SL against brinjal jassid, *Cestius phycitis*. *J Entomol Res.* 2013;37(4):319-323.

Wade PS, Wankhede, SM, Rahate, SS. Efficacy of different pesticides against major pests infesting tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2020;9(4):545-548.

Singh AD, Prathyusha P, Malik YK, Dwivedi, RK. Bioefficacy of phyto-pesticides against major insect-pest of organically grown tomato. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci,* 2021;10(5):524-537.

Asif MU, Muhammad R, Akbar W, Tofique M. Relative Efficacy of Some Insecticides Against the Sucking Insect Pest Complex of Cotton. *Nucleus.* 2017;53(2):140-146.

Thorat SS, Kumar S, Patel JD. Bio efficacy of different pesticides against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius) in tomato. *J Entomol Zool Stud.* 2020;8(4):1428-1431.

Bambhaniya VS, Khanpara AV, Patel HN. Bio-Efficacy of insecticides against sucking pests; Jassid and Thrips infesting tomato. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2018;7(3):1471-1479.

El-Mageed AAEM, Naglaa MY, Mostafa ME. Efficacy of some Different Insecticides against Cotton Mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) and its Associated Predators. *J Plant Prot & Pathol.* 2018;9(6):351-355.

Ghosal A, Chatterjee ML. Evaluation of imidacloprid 17.8 SL against brinjal jassid, *Cestius phycitis*. *J Entomol Res.* 2013;37(4):319-323.

Abdelgaleil SAM, El-bakary AS, Shawir M, Ramadan G. Efficacy of various insecticides against tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta*, in Egypt. *Appl. Biol. Res.* 2015;17(3):297.

Sapkal SD, Sonkamble MM, Gaikward BB. Bioefficacy of newer insecticides against tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (mill) under protected cultivation. *Int J Chem Stud.* 2018;6(4): 3326-3330.

Tokhy El, AIA, Ali MAM, Hafez YM, AbdelaalKhA. Impacts of Different Insecticides on *Tutaabsoluta* (Meyrick) Larvae with Their Effects on Physiological Characteristics and Fruits Yield of Stressed Tomato Plants. *J Plant Prot&Pathol*. 2020;11(6):269-274.

El-Fakhouri K, Boulamtat R, Sabraoui A, El-Bouhssini M. The Chickpea Pod Borer, *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hübner): Yield Loss Estimation and Biorational Insecticide Assessment in Morocco. *Agronomy*. 2022;12(12):3017.

Das G, Khatum MR. Evaluation of Field Efficacy of Different Bacterial Fermented Insecticides against Tomato Fruit Borer, *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner). *Asian J Biol Sci*. 2021;3(1):1-7.

Kumar S, Umrao RS, Kumar A, Patel VK, Debnath R, Kumar A. Evaluation of the efficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner) on tomato. *J Entomol Zool Stud*. 2020;8(3):555-558.

Kachave DR, Sonkamble MM, Patil SK. Bioefficacy of newer insecticides against tomato fruit borer (*Helicoverpaarmigera* Hubner) and leaf miner (*Tutaabsoluta* Meyrick). *J Entomol Zool Stud*. 2020;8(3):346-352.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW