

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100514</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFICACY OF INSECTICIDES AGAINST MAJOR INSECTS OF TOMATO IN MANIPUR</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Accepted with minor revision . Errors: Grammatical and punctuation errors should be rectified. Authors are suggested to use smart tools like 1 checker, ginger, Grammarly, white smoke, etc.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript may be published, incorporating suggested changes, by the reviewer. Formatting need to be done and author must strictly adhere to journal guidelines. Updated the manuscript according to journal guidelines.</p> <p>The authors have summarized an extensive list, so I congratulate them on compiling data. It would have been if the authors had laid out guiding principles for designing such stuff.</p> <p>It is recommended that the introductory section should fully introduce the relevant literature review of this work and highlight the innovation of this work. Acceptable methodology.</p> <p>The results discussion section also needs to deepen the discussion. Rest all the parameters are being covered in the manuscript.</p> <p>In the conclusion section of this paper, the author does not point out the shortcomings of the current work and the future prospects. It is recommended that the authors summarize and outlook.</p> <p>Equation tools should be used for formula/equation writing.</p> <p>Errors: Grammatical and punctuation errors should be rectified. Authors are suggested to use smart tools like 1 checker, ginger, Grammarly, white smoke, etc.</p> <p>References: It should be made strictly as per Instructions to Authors.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rajvant Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Institute of Pharma Sciences, Chandigarh University, India</b>