

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_98839
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF TSUMIN MARKE (TM) AND MI JIAN FEN (MJF) APHRODISIACS ON SOME BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN FEMALE WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please see the below report, where there is suggestion of additional references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Spelling mistakes are present in the manuscript for example distilled water to be corrected to distilled water, Clomifene to be Clomiphene,.....etc. Please revise accurately for sany more spelling mistakes and correct them.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- Sample size calculation was missed. Please clarify on what basics was the selection of animal numbers?</p> <p>2- Inclusion and exclusion criteria were missed. Please add them in the methodology.</p> <p>3- The aim of the study to be clear and concise and to be written at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>4- References for chosen doses given to the rats for Mi jian fen and Tsumin marke to be submitted in the manuscript.</p> <p>5- Conclusion is required to be more comprehensive.</p> <p>6- Recommendation section is required to be added by the authors.</p> <p>7- The following paragraph is recommended to be added in the introduction or discussion showing effect of CC and estrogenic products on female health;</p> <p>A prospective, randomized, controlled, open label study was conducted on women with CC resistant PCOS, where, the findings of this trial do not support the hypothesis that vitamin E may increase the ovulation and pregnancy rates in women with clomiphene citrate-resistant PCOS.(1) Moreover, estrogenic compounds as genistein proved to exhibit a neuroprotective effect attributed to its estrogenic, antioxidant, and/or anti-apoptotic properties.(2) Additionally, the link between nuclear β-catenin and UF phenotype and β-catenin crosstalk with estrogen and histone deacetylases (HDACs) was explored and it was found that β-catenin nuclear translocation contributes to UF phenotype, and β-catenin signaling is modulated by estradiol and HDAC activity. (3) It was demonstrated that a novel link between DNA damage and the vitamin D3/VDR axis in UFs. Vitamin D3 suppresses the UF phenotype through orchestrated targeting at multiple molecules in DNA repair pathways, thus offering novel mechanistic insights into the clinical effectiveness of vitamin D3 on UFs (4).</p> <p>References (1) doi:10.1111/jog.14467 (2) https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-017-1435-7 (3) https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgz227</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagwa Ali Sabri
Department, University & Country	Ain Shams University, Egypt