

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJBCRR_98839</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF TSUMIN MARKE (TM) AND MI JIAN FEN (MJF) APHRODISIACS ON SOME BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS IN FEMALE WISTAR ALBINO RATS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes, because if somebody has less sexual desire or libido to his or her partners, this aphrodisiacs may initiate and stimulate the sexual desire for both men and women and increase the chance of getting child.</li> <li>2. NO, The title had better to be modified to: Evaluation of different aphrodisiac therapy effect by using some Biochemical parameters in female wistar albino rats</li> <li>3. Yes, but the abstract is very shallow. It should include the background, methodology, and result and conclusions part.</li> <li>4. Yes</li> <li>5. Yes</li> <li>6. The reference is sufficient, but the authors has used old reference that should be replaced by recent reference citation. I have indicated in Manuscript part.</li> <li>7. Specific objective is not described in the introduction section.</li> <li>8. Materials and method part had better to be clearly described( study design,Sampling method and sample size determination, and Sample collection procedure)</li> <li>9. The ethical consideration had better to be written before experiment, during experiment and after experiment based on international animal ethics guideline in material and method part even if the author has tried.</li> <li>10. The conclusion section is very shallow. There is no sufficient information. There is no recommendations based on your conclusion. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Which aphrodisiacs is most effective to increase libido with minimal side effect.</li> <li>b. What is your recommendation for your finding?</li> <li>c. What initiates to do this research?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, This text scores better than 47% of all texts checked by Grammarly</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is some Grammatical and punctuation error in this paper</li> <li>2. Writing Issues (58 Misspelled words; 44 Comma misuse within clauses; 1 Improper formatting; 16 Incorrect noun number ;37 Determiner use (a/an/the/this, etc.); 14 Wrong or missing prepositions; 15 Confused words; 3 Incorrect verb forms; 1 Misuse of quantiers; 15 Commonly confused words; 8 Faulty subject-verb agreement)</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Keywords</b> itself had better to be bold and put keywords in alphabetical order and italicize it.</li> <li>2. Put clear objective of this research at the end of the introduction</li> <li>3. See those comments given in the manuscript</li> <li>4. Related to reference <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Journal should be italicized.</li> <li>b. Use recent reference rather than old reference</li> <li>c. Generally, the reference writing style should be based on Inter. Journal of Biochemistry guideline, so follow the guideline.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Balemual Abebaw Adimasie</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia</b>