

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_101222
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Gastrointestinal Symptoms and clinical outcomes in hospitalized corona disease 2019 patients
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes OK Should be written as per author's guidelines of the journal. Keywords should be written in alphabetic order. Tables should be incorporated in the text and not at the end. Captions of the tables should be in bigger and prominent font. Subsections should be as per journal. OK	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Typing and grammatical mistakes should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	Keywords are not in alphabetical manner. Captions of photographs to be written in different font. Funding, conflict of interest and ethical approval are missing. Cover letter is missing with the name of authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	VK Nigam
Department, University & Country	Max Hospital, India