

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_100171
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH TEENAGE PREGNANCY IN AHAFO ANO SOUTH EAST DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes , it is very important</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>In this study, <b>FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH TEENAGE PREGNANCY IN AHAFO ANO SOUTH EAST DISTRICT</b>. I think this is somewhat interesting, but there are some problems in this manuscript as indicated below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Language and punctuations need improvement</li> <li>2. Discussion was very shallow</li> <li>3. Paragraphs are not standard specially discussion part very large paragraphs seen that should make poor quality of manuscript</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Bargude Balta Anjulo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hawassa University, Ethiopia</b>