

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_100171
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH TEENAGE PREGNANCY IN AHAFO ANO SOUTH EAST DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpcb.com/index.php/AJPCB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I have highlighted it in yellow for comments and suggestions.</p> <p>Of course, it is a piece of academic work.</p> <p>The title of the paper is a case study. My suggestion is to revise the title such as Factors associated with teenage pregnancy in Ahafo Ano South East District: A Case study</p> <p>The study employed a qualitative investigation. Therefore, the methodology needs to be revised. A purposive sample was not suitable. Try to make it a simple random sampling procedure. There were 45 participants in the study area. Is it Focus Group Discussion? Write a bit more about FGD. How did you come up with 45 cases? If any selection criteria?</p> <p>It needs to be revised. I have highlighted the manuscript</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>The references are as per the journal style. I have no comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The FGD needs to revise and explain the house listing, moderator role, and scriptwriter, and if any audio recording used for the data collection needs to elaborate.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Sathiya Susuman
Department, University & Country	University of the Western Cape, South Africa