

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_100900
Title of the Manuscript:	Low viral load testing in HIV patients on antiretroviral therapy; mitigation measures in Ghana
Type of the Article	Letter to editor

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? R. Yes because the World Health Organization recommends that viral load testing should be the preferred monitoring tool to diagnose and confirm treatment failure at 6 months, 12 months and after.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? R. Yes</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? R. Yes</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? R. Yes</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? R. Yes</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. R. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	R. Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In this paragraph, "Although, Ghana is doing well with the treatment of HIV/AIDS cases, there is no direct linkage between the treatment and the viral load suppression. Ghana is trailing on its ability to detect cases of HIV/AIDS as well as achieving the targeted viral suppression. A number of factors seem to be contributing to Ghana's current HIV/AIDS detections and suppressions", the author failed to precise that the Ghana health system needs money to make for free the viral load tests and it can request to international foundations or NGO worldwide.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guyguy Kabundi Tshima
Department, University & Country	Université de Montréal, Canada