

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_100477
Title of the Manuscript:	Septicaemia induced acute heart failure with elevated Trop I : a case report in Fourniers gangrene
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, Treating physician should have broad suspicion of HF in sepsis patients, some times it may be diagnoses of exclusion.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the abstract section, Last sentence not convey any meaning.</li> <li>In the case report, details of clinical examination, Treatment details, Follow-up details of the patients should have been discussed.</li> <li>Discussion part is too short, any similar case report should have been mentioned.</li> <li>Whether this patient received Diuretics?</li> <li>CXR Findings?</li> <li>In the reference section, 9 references are quoted, in the contents of the article no single reference number is seen.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Rare Case report.	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mamatha B Patil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RRMCH, India</b>