

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_100088
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Heat Curing of Film-Forming Solution on the Properties of Soy Protein Films
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The authors have studied the authors have studied the Effect of Heat Curing of Film-Forming Solution on the Properties of Soy Protein Films. The study is relevant to the scope of the journal.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to be improved at many aspects</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to incorporate some recent references</p> <p>1. Introduction is not in flow and major part of general aspects of food preservation and not focused on any aspect of mechanisms of action of food preservation methods</p> <p>2. Many papers are available on Review of Mechanisms and commercial aspects of food processing and Preservation, how this paper differs from other.</p> <p>3. Provide numbering to the content of paper</p> <p>4. language is very poor and many grammatical errors in all part of manuscript</p> <p>6. English need to be improved at many cases.</p> <p>7. Add some recent references after 2015 The study is relevant to the scope of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but need to improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gajanan P. Deshmukh
Department, University & Country	Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India