

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_99902
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of entrepreneurial characteristics on decision making process
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important especially for the young entrepreneur. They are the leaders in corporation of their country. The effectiveness of the leadership in decision making process will result the fate of the corporation itself and the country's economy in general.</p> <p>Not really. One has to be more specific and relevant to the research. My two cents thought would be "The effect of preferred entrepreneurial characteristics on formalised decision-making process".</p> <p>Abstract supposed to be a brief and informative summary of the research which attract the eyes of readers. Here, it points out more on the results than anything else.</p> <p>A lot of improvements required. Please refers to your supervisor on the flow of an effective research article.</p> <p>Questionable. Show the conceptual frameworks and compare to the LR and methodology of the research paper. We are now in 2023. Please use more recently available references. There are no models or theories or concepts used from previous researchers?</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>There are spelling and grammatical errors. Please check to ensure the quality of the research paper. Generally, the contents are not in flow, maybe due to the structure of the sentences and the contents of the point not expressively well. One may look through them by using short sentences and put them in one independent variable one paragraph. And Dependant variable in separate paragraph.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please check through the methodology process and its tests especially Table 13. I wonder what readers get from the conclusion after they finished reading the research paper.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Louis Lim Vui Han</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International University Malaya and Wales, Malaysia</b>