

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100919
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Tomato Hybrids Arka Abhed and Arka Samrat in Visakhapatnam District of Andhra Pradesh.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Please include references notwithstanding older references even though the percentage of recent references should be higher.</p> <p>Font size should be similar.</p> <p>Add some gaps of this study.</p> <p>Abstract portion should be more attractive.</p> <p>Add some sentences of importance of this study in abstract</p> <p>Proper revision needed, there are many minor mistakes, and typical error</p> <p>Maintain same spacing in whole your manuscript.</p> <p>Overall well written.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Laguage should be more specific</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The subject is intriguing overall. References should follow a consistent pattern. The conclusion is incredibly lacking. Make this section more interesting by adding a few sentences.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukanya Misra
Department, University & Country	Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agriculture University, India