

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_100048
Title of the Manuscript:	THE BACTERIAL PROFILE OF AORTIC INFECTIOUS ENDOCARDS: Experience of the Cardiology Department, Mohammed VI University Hospital of Marrakech.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community as it discusses the field of health, particularly endocarditis and bacterial profiles in patients with endocarditis.</p> <p>I think the article title already matches the topic presented by the author.</p> <p>I suggest two points that need to be considered in writing an abstract as follows: 1) The author should mention the research objectives in the introduction. 2) The abstract should not exceed 300 words as stated in the writing guidelines.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To enrich the introduction section, the author may add the prevalence of endocarditis (as a research problem) and include the risk factors of endocarditis (as causes of the problem). Perhaps the author could explain the sample size in the method section, and if possible, please include the considerations or formulas used. In the results section, I suggest that the presentation of some data would be better combined, such as age, gender, and history (in one table), to make it simpler. Perhaps the sentence 'Despite improvements epidemiology of IE' in the conclusion part can be split into two sentences, so that the readers can better understand the author's intent. Furthermore, could you please explain what actions are necessary to prevent infective endocarditis? <p>I think this manuscript is scientifically correct, but to make it more comprehensive, I suggest to the author to improve some points that have been mentioned before.</p> <p>I suggest adding some recent references to enrich the discussion section. Here are some related article links that I would like to share:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5240923/ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6964163/ <p>Please double-check the writing of references to comply with the guidelines. I noticed that the same bibliography is written twice (1 and 10; 3 and 5). For non-article sources, please include a link and access date, such as references number 2 and 7.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sufyan Anwar
Department, University & Country	Universitas Teuku Umar, Indonesia