

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_100792
Title of the Manuscript:	Acne and regular menstrual cycles: a study of 100 Libyan females
Type of the Article	The article is descriptive level

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.- All research is important. In the particular case, the article has "internal validity" and its results cannot be extrapolated to other populations. It is suggested, however, in the discussion of results, highlight the differences with other findings with a higher percentage, where there could be still unknown factors (feeding, for example) that motivate said difference.</p> <p>2. the title is correct</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- the structure is adequate and appropriate with the objectives.</p> <p>5.- it's right. With some observations. The applied method allows obtaining validity only applicable to the study population in such a way that they cannot be extrapolated to other populations. The relationship between acne and the menstrual period has not been scientifically proven, since there could be causes not considered. For example, anxiety about a possible pregnancy. To determine the relationship between the variables studied, you should continue in your line of research with a "case-control" study and hypothesis tests (X2 or Student's t) considering variables such as "family history", "anxiety about possible pregnancies", "fears of menstrual pain", "feeding" etc., etc. and other causes of possible increase in acne in front of the menstrual period.</p> <p>6.- Literary and bibliographic review is acceptable</p> <p>Additional comments. To obtain external validity, it is recommended to compare two groups, and to be able to extrapolate the results to other populations similar to the study population. It is necessary to consider and rule out other possible causes of acne (around the dates of the menstrual period) in addition to hormonal factors, especially emotional causes, and others such as food, weather, etc. in order to rule out spurious or casual causes. The author is recommended to continue in the line of research. It would be extremely useful to use suitable software for data analysis, for example SPSS to verify important associations or correlations to the objective of the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	it's right	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oswaldo A. Cuello Videla
Department, University & Country	Uniersidad del Aconcagua, Argentrina