

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_100000
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GALANGAL RHIZOME FOR THE HEALTH OF CULTURED FISH
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. In the general fisheries problems context, such natural based solutions should be rediscovered, promoted and implemented on large scales where is possible!</p> <p>2. Please add in the title (Zingiberaceae family) and <i>Alpinia galanga</i> L and the geographical area of potential interest</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. The references should be enriched. Some not original information are not cited.</p> <p>In my opinion the paper deserve to be published after the minor changes will be approached.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. I don t have the needed qualification to decide on this/I am not an English native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Congratulation to the authors for their work.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Doru Bănăduc
Department, University & Country	Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania