

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_100000
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GALANGAL RHIZOME FOR THE HEALTH OF CULTURED FISH
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes  <b>Effect of Galangal Rhizome (<i>Alpinia galanga</i> L.) for the Health of Cultured Fish</b>  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes. No, I have no suggestions for additional references.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>I recommend that authors (the author) collaborate with a certified English translator or a native English speaker.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The article is interesting and well structured. Even though I have made many comments and corrections, they are minor and refer to translation mistakes, grammatical mistakes, and some aspects of taxonomy and systematics. I believe that the article can be published after the rigorous corrections are made. I congratulate the authors (the author).</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniel Cocan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania</b>