

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_100461
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ACCOUNTABILITY ON QUALITY OF SERVICE DELIVERY IN MARSABIT COUNTY GOVERNMENT, KENYA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. yes, to determine the service quality of an organization</p> <p>2. Clear</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes. Nb. in writing a literature study it is better to go straight to the point without having to be given an introduction to each sub-chapter</p> <p>in writing research methods matters related to research design carried out must be written without having to theorize. even if you want to write data support, just write down the citation. any personal research data does not need to be written down the source. summary is not included in the journal systematics, it needs to be deleted. however, a discussion section needs to be added whose contents relate to the discussion of research results which are supported by relevant research data from trusted journal sources.</p> <p>5. clear</p> <p>6. Out of date references that must be updated for at least the last 5 years from trusted journals is highly recommended.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Clear	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayesh Sudhakar Sarode
Department, University & Country	DBATU University, India