

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_101055
Title of the Manuscript:	Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy and Pregnancy: Insights from Two Case Studies.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper discusses a clinically and genetically diverse disease named Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy that can lead to unexpected death and heart failure. The paper discusses two cases of pregnant women suffering from this disease. To lower the potential risk factors in such patients, the paper recommends special attention. The manuscript is important to medical community. 2. A suitable title for the manuscript can be "Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy: A potential threat during pregnancy" 3. Abstract of the article is comprehensive. It can look more concise if written without subtitles. 4. Manuscript is scientifically correct. 5. References are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The article is suitable for scholarly communications with slight modifications. The contents are presented with titles for sections and sub-sections; but they can look better if appropriately numbered. The paragraph count can be reduced by appropriate merging.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgaprasad Kamat
Department, University & Country	Sinhgad Academy of Engineering, SPPU, India