

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_100393
Title of the Manuscript:	A BENFICIAL APPROACH OF MANAGEMENT FOR DELAYED DIAGNOSIS OF PEMPHIGUS VULGARIS: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the article can be considered important for the scientific community as it provides information on current treatment options for the disease, involving standard therapies such as corticosteroids and immunosuppressants, as well alternative ones such as plasmapheresis and intravenous immunoglobulin. It highlights the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in managing PV, emphasizing on the involvement of different healthcare professionals, like dermatologists and oral medicine specialists for the comprehensive care of patients.</p> <p>2. The title is informative, concise and reflects the main subject and purpose of the article, making it attractive for readers interested in PV management. It also correctly indicates it is a case report.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a concise summary of the study, highlighting the significance of early diagnosis and prompt treatment for PV.</p> <p>4. Structure and subsections appear to be appropriate, presenting relevant information in a structured manner and following a logical flow.</p> <p>5. The manuscript seems scientifically correct and up to date.</p> <p>6. References are acceptable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. English language is in general understandable although some minor corrections could be made to improve the clarity and flow of the article eg. In the abstract, the sentence "From this current case report, we concluded that how the pemphigus vulgaris can be treated if it is worsened stage and not treated immediately" could be rephrased as "This case report highlights the treatment approach for pemphigus vulgaris during the worsened stage when immediate treatment is not initiated." And Make sure to check for consistent use of capitalization, such as capitalizing the first letter of each sentence and proper nouns.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dimitra Zafeiratou
Department, University & Country	University Hospital "Andreas Syggros", Greece