

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_100306
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Analysis of the Adoption of Conflict Resolution Model for Conflict Management in Colleges of Education and Universities in South-East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The topic is important because several authors have reflected and explained the phenomenon presented in the article in their research. And conflict prevention and especially resolution skills are very important in education, as it can be an aspect of why, among other things, education is continued or not. It is not clear why this needs to be studied in the context of universities and colleges in this region. Can it be assumed that something is problematic? It could be added both to the abstract and to formulate the problem in the introduction.</p> <p>The research questions have been fixed, but they could be combined with the previous list (e.g. <i>The adoption of competing mode of conflict resolution for conflict management in colleges of education and universities.2. The adoption of collaborating mode of conflict resolution for conflict management in colleges of education and university.. etc.</i>)</p> <p>The methodology part could be an abstract explaining the method and the research instrument. Add the coefficients to the textual content. Tables for research results by model type.</p> <p>The figure has a signature, not a title, change!</p> <p>In the summary, you could add why the given results and conclusions are important in the context of the treatment of the topic. What will be continued as research in the future, what should be further researched? he sources are cited in the same style, relevant, but only 4 of the 12 sources have been published in the last seven years, so more than half of the sources are quite old.</p> <p>The conclusions of the research results could be meaningful, perhaps this part could be improved. calculations are correctly presented and the analysis method is appropriate.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is appropriate, scientific, the content is easy to understand.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The article is written on an important topic for organizations. When introducing changes, the publication of research results is rather necessary.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maarika Veigel
Department, University & Country	Tallinn University, Estonia