

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100187
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of Information Communication Technology (ICT) in Livestock Development: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for not only scientific community but also for a practice animal breeding. The ICTs allow to manage of animal health, geographic conditions by effective spread information using the most modern technologies. It's also proposed the large social meaning of the ICTs in eradication of unemployed and poor and undernourished population. 2. The title of the article is suitable and fully corresponds to the content. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and meet to the requirements for this type of work. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient but I would like to see fresher sources. <p>In addition, I would like to wish to describe more practice examples of the using of ICT and the successes achieved by using of them by comparison before ICT introduction and after. It would considerably improve of the manuscript quality.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The English language quality is acceptable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is devoted to a topic of great socio-economic importance, written in a competent scientific language, reflects the essence of the problem and deserves to be published in Asian journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elena Konovalova
Department, University & Country	L.K. Ernst Federal Research Center for Animal Husbandry, Russia