

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_100337
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY CHANGES IN FARMED FISH PRODUCTS DURING FREEZING AND FROZEN STORAGE: REVIEW.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the regions away from sea or river mostly depends on farm fish to satisfied their craving from seafood. So, the knowledge about their quality is important after catching and during marketing for consumer.</p> <p>I have some consideration, FARMED FISH PRODUCTS, actually this review is all about the quality and safety of fresh fish after chilling and freezing, so word "products" is not very suitable in title. Abstract is fine.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscripts is also inline generally needed.</p> <p>When we talked about fish, final quality depends on the quality of raw material, so some review regarding the quality of farmed fish, immediately after catching before freezing, should also be included.</p> <p>References are ok.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language needs to be checked by some expert.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Its just my personal opinion that if the data collected for this review will be presented in table form, it will be lost easier for others scientists to understand its</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tusneem Kausar
Department, University & Country	Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Sargodha, Pakistan