

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_98859
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Impact of Transformational Leadership Characteristics on Leaders of University of Education, Winneba, Ghana.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Leadership style is important to determine trajectory of organizations. If there is a downward trajectory, information from the study could provide early warning. Hence, executive or other forms of coaching could be recommended.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Flawed (Needs grammar check). Work is not a dissertation.</p> <p>No. Problem Statement: (The recent happenings in KNUST¹ and University of Education, Winneba² (UEW) are all allude to leadership lapses.) What is the problem?</p> <p>No (reference is made to t test and no t-test metrics and analysis shown).</p> <p>Unsure. What writing style used (e.g., Chicago, Harvard, Turabian) ?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Was privileged to read an informative paper. If t test is mentioned, then hypotheses must be stated. Also, "calculated probability (p-value) using the SPSS19.0" was not demonstrated. Were infants being investigated, causing a mean age of 1.2?	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupert Green
Department, University & Country	Institute for Hands on Science, Engineering, & Technology, USA