

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_100322
Title of the Manuscript:	BOARD ATTRIBUTES AND CREDIT RISK EXPOSURE OF LISTED DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA: THE MODERATING EFFECT OF RISK COMMITTEE SIZE
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it examines the financial aspects, ideas to improve the benefits of banking system and reducing the risk factor.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Quite comprehensive, but need to written in simple-straight para by avoiding sub titles or sub headings.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Sufficient and included the recent as well.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript has been written in proper English language comprehensively.	
Optional/General comments	Good written manuscript. Abstract should be written in simple-straight para s by avoiding sub headings or sub titles.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Prakash Shrivastava
Department, University & Country	IES College of Education, Barkatullah University, India