

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_99556
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and functional properties of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented maize dough used for the preparation of doklu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.Yes 2.Yes 3.Yes 4.Yes 5.Yes 6.Yes Suggestions:</p> <p>1) Keywords: I suggest using keywords different then the words used in the title, to increase the chances of the readers to find the article.</p> <p>2) Update the apparatus and equipments used in the study by adding the producer, model, city and country. E.g . Thermocycler, sequencer, electrophoresis, centrifuge, incubator, ...</p> <p>3) Please remake Figure 1 A and B. Avoid 3D presentation of the data due to unclear reading of the fourth row of values.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. English needs improvement: Abstract: They Their survival and growth under unfavorable conditions of temperature, salt concentration and pH were was also analyzed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stan Laura
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania