

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_99556
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and functional properties of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented maize dough used for the preparation of doklu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. The manuscript is worth for publication; however, it needs improvement in grammar. 2. At few points, I have corrected and the corrected MS is attached and highlighted 3. The authors should check section 2.2.1 LAB Strain preparation where they have mentioned that agar plates were used to culture LAB followed by centrifugation. I think, the authors might have either used broth or if agar plates, then LAB might have been scrapped, suspended in broth and then centrifuged 4. Fig 3: The authors should mention the strain and species of LAB 5. Discussion part may be reduced, however, if the policy of Journal permits long discussion, it's OK</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need review of grammar</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Paper may be accepted</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amar P. Garg
Department, University & Country	School of Biological Engineering & Life Sciences Shobhit Institute of Engineering & Technology, Deemed to-be-University, India