

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_98996
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance and Novel Therapeutic Strategies
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is important in a way that now a days; antimicrobial resistance is becoming a threat for humanity. It is need of hour to develop a global strategy to save future generation from this huge phenomenon. Antimicrobial resistance patterns and exploration of alternative therapies Too much repeated sentences in abstract about the aim of this article. In second sub heading instead of adding more headings there should be a table, as it is looking very messy. As it is a review so it can be more interesting by addition of more figures and tables, there is even a no single figure in entire article. As a reader it is just looking a copy paste. Bacterial names must be in <i>italics</i>, but in current article this point is not being properly followed. Continent or country wise drug resistance patterns should have been addressed. Font size suddenly changed under heading (resistance to Trimethoprim) making it difficult to read. No references in text were added, in table also no indication of references. In a review of 20 pages only 41 references are not sufficient 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Fair	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It should be more sinteresting, a long endless review will not be fruitful.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M Waqar
Department, University & Country	University of Wah, Pakistan