

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_99901</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Plant spacing combined with nitrogen nutrition affects loose flower production in Rosa gross-an-teplitz under open conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Not. Author used cut and pest his thesis research work and not require point wise description. Some ref. is used very old list and some old so it removes and ref. require in uniform form.</b> <b>After reviewing the manuscript it is suggested revision as illustrated:</b> 1. Improve gramical correction require in description of review article. 2. So many gramical mistakes in paper please correct. Reference list are long and old ref. remove in text cited and bibliography. <b>The Reference section should be revised as per proper uniform style and format of the Journal.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Require minor revision in research article paper	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Poor written paper Use cut and pest his thesis research work require improvement.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ratan Lal Solanki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KVK, MPUAT, India</b>