

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101002
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BORON AND ZINC ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF OKRA ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> . L) F1 HYBRID
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is necessary to update bibliography</p> <p>Very concise and consistent work in the answers obtained in the different categories, which is why it constitutes a concrete alternative to improve okra productivity. In reciprocity, the observations are also punctual. In the tables it is more appropriate to put the probability rather than the letter S, for greater clarity of the intensity of the response, likewise, separate treatment means in a conventional manner (lower case letters and indicate the multiple range test used) and also include the overall mean.</p> <p>It would be important to include foliar nutritional content - foliar pigments to substantiate the answers obtained, which would give more body to the research.</p> <p>Update citations (1983, 1991, 1995) that may well have a bibliography in more current editions.</p> <p>Since the article in question is part of the thesis submission requirements, it would be important to reliably comply with the journal's standards rather than presenting the summary of that one, it is information that is already available, it would therefore be a win-gain.</p> <p>You can also opt in the journal, handle the formal option of submission of thesis as a requirement to obtain a degree</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Review and edit the language Check typos and punctuations</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Manuel Soto Parra
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, México