

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100866
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF SULPHUR AND ZINC ON YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF LENTIL (<i>Lens culinaris Medikus.</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is definitely important for scientific community, because there is no much information on micro element like fe,zn,cu...</p> <p>2. Yes it is suitable</p> <p>3. Good, but</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes, but it needs omitting some old references.</p> <p>Generally the work is good, but it is better if you add the following points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ it needs which experimental design the researcher has used(RCB/CRD?) ❖ in result and discussion, don't start by saying "treatment 9",...etc, instead, write only treatment level ❖ omit some old references ❖ in description of experimental site, the first sentence look paragraph, so make it clear and short.... 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language quality is very good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The work looks good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamirat Lefamo Abote
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia