

## Original Research Article

**“Work out the economics of different treatment combinations on Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)”**

### **ABSTRACT**

The field experiment entitled “Work out the economics of different treatment combinations on Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)” was conducted during *rabi* season, 2022 at Crop Research Farm in the Department of agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh. The treatment consisted of three levels of Biofertilizer *Rhizobium*, PSB and *Rhizobium* + PSB, Phosphorus (20, 40 and 60 kg/ha) and control. The experiment was layout in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 10 treatments and replicated thrice. Application of (*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha) produces higher Seed yield (1537.20 kg/ha.), Stover yield (3195.12 kg/ha.), Gross Return (INR 97985/ha.), Net Return (INR 66560/ha.) and B:C Ratio (2.12).

**Keywords:** Chickpea, Biofertilizer, Phosphorus, Yield and Economics.

### **Introduction**

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a multipurpose pulse crop consumed by the people in different forms *viz.* dal leaves, germinated seed etc. Chickpea belongs to the family Leguminosae. More than any other pulse crop, chickpea are a great source of higher-quality protein for human consumption. They also contain other nutrients such carbs, proteins, iron, zinc, calcium, and magnesium (Jukanti *et al.*, 2012). This pulse crop contains between 17% and 23% protein (Ali and Kumar, 2006; Kumar *et al.*, 2014).

Because phosphorus (P) is one of the main supporters of biological nitrogen fixing, which is what all leguminous crops perform, these plants are the larger consumers of P in soils.

The addition of phosphorus to these crops also increases nodulation, improves grain quality, and promotes plant growth, all of which lead to higher yields (Kumar *et al.*, 2016).

chickpea is grown in about 50 countries around the world covering an area of

149.66 lakh ha with an average global productivity of 1252 kg/ha. India is the leading producer of chickpea contributing to about 70% of the world's chickpea production. In India, Madhya Pradesh (39%), Maharashtra (14%), Rajasthan (14%), Uttar Pradesh (7%), Karnataka (6%) and Gujarat (5%) are the major chickpea growing states. In India pulses are grown nearly in 28.83 m ha with an annual production of 25.72 m t and productivity of 0.8 t ha. Some of the states like Uttar Pradesh is about 8.24 m ha with an annual production of 9.97 m t and productivity of 1.08 t ha major producer of chickpea in India as advocated by Ministry of agriculture and Farmers Welfare. (GOI 2020-21).

The importance of bio-fertilizers, which provide the macro and micronutrients required for plant growth, is also well acknowledged. Crop growth is aided by the inoculation of biofertilizers with inorganic fertilizer. *Rhizobium* inoculation can boost the grain production of pulse crops by 10% to 15%. While phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) mineralize organic phosphorus compounds to improve the availability of insoluble phosphorus in the soils (Parveen *et al.*, 2002). The purpose of this study is to determine how two different biofertilizers, *Rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB), interact with phosphorus at varying levels of fertilization.

The efficient use of fertilizers is greatly improved by the use of biofertilizers. *Rhizobium*-inoculated pulse seeds are seeded in such soils, which boosts the rhizosphere's population. *Rhizobium* and pulse plants work together to increase soil fertility.

*Rhizobium* usage has provided up new avenues for phosphorus nutrition. In order to boost crop output, brassinolide (BL), the most significant homobrassinolide (HBR), plays a crucial function in the hormonal regulation of plant growth and development. *Rhizobium* seed inoculation improved the production of chickpea seeds and straw as well as the number of nodules and pods per plant (Swarnkar *et al.*, 2010).

The cheapest source to improve P availability, particularly in legumes, which increases agricultural yield, has been identified as phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB). In addition to preserving the soil fertility, using effective nutrient management techniques in conjunction with PSB will help to increase chickpea yield and quality (Singh and Singh, 2014). A crucial characteristic in sustainable farming for boosting plant yields is PSB's capacity to transform insoluble forms of P into an accessible form. The phosphorus component in legumes suggests a stronger focus on boosting production since it fosters strong root development and encourages rhizobial activity, which leads to an increase in nodulation and is an example of nitrogen fixation.

Phosphorus is an essential structural element of many molecules and serves a crucial functional role in the transmission of energy and the regulation of metabolism. Additionally, phosphorus is essential for pod filling, which eventually increases grain output. It has a positive impact on yield, growth, and nodulation. It is crucial in both the energy transfer reaction and the oxidation and reduction reactions.

Legume crop growth is boosted by phosphorus application because it enhances cell division. Additionally, it raises crop quality and disease resistance. Fertilization with phosphorus is a significant input in the production of crops (**Blackshaw et al. 2004**). As a component of nucleoproteins and nucleotides, it participates in metabolic processes and plays a crucial part in the synthesis of energy-rich bonds like ADP and ATP.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was laid out during the *Rabi* season of 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.). The crop research farm is situated at 25° 39' 42" N latitude, 81° 67' 56" E longitude and at an altitude of 98 m above mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design which consisting of ten treatments with T<sub>1</sub> – (*Rhizobium* + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha), T<sub>2</sub> – (PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha), T<sub>3</sub> – (*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha), T<sub>4</sub> – (*Rhizobium* + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha), T<sub>5</sub> – (PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha), T<sub>6</sub> – (*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha), T<sub>7</sub> – (*Rhizobium* + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha), T<sub>8</sub> – (PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha), T<sub>9</sub> – (*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha), T<sub>10</sub> – Control (RDF 20-50-20). The soil in the experimental area was sandy loam with pH (8.0), organic carbon (0.42%), available N (180.58 kg/ha), available P (15.54 kg/ha), and available K (198.67 kg/ha). Seeds are sown at a spacing of 30×10 cm to a seed rate of 80 kg/ha. The recommended dose of nitrogen (20 kg/ha), phosphorus (50 kg/ha) and potassium (20 kg/ha) and Biofertilizer and phosphorus were applied as per the treatments. Data recorded on different aspects of crop, viz., growth, yield attributes were subjected to statistically analysis by analysis of variance method (**Gomez and Gomez, 1976**). And economic data analysis mathematical method.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

### **Growth parameters**

### **Seed Yield (kg/ha):**

The data revealed that Treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] was recorded significantly maximum Seed yield (1537.20 kg/ha) which was superior over all other treatments. However, the treatment 6 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha] and treatment 3 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha] were found to be statistically at par with the treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] in (Table 2).

Significant increase in seed yield might be due to the Dual inoculation of *Rhizobium* can increase seed yield in pulse crop up to 10 to 15% while PSB increase availability of insoluble phosphorous into soil. Results were similar to **Singh et al. (2018)**. Further seed yield was with application of phosphatic fertilizer therefore provided balance nutrition to the crop which resulted in higher seed yield of lentil. Phosphorus also increased the photosynthesis and translocation of assimilates to different plant parts for enhanced growth and yield attributing characters of the crop as observed in number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod. In the later stage, the excess assimilates stored in the leaves was translocated towards sink development which ultimately contributed to higher seed yield. These findings were supported by **Choubey et al., (2013)**.

### **Stover yield (kg/ha):**

The data revealed that Treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60kg/ha] was recorded significantly maximum Stover yield (3195.12 kg/ha) which was superior over all other treatments. However, the treatment-6 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha], 3 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha], treatment 4 [*Rhizobium* + phosphorus 40 kg/ha] and treatment 8 [PSB + phosphorus 60 kg/ha] and treatment 5 [PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha] was found to be statically at par with treatment-9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] in (Table 2).

Significant increase in stover yield with Dual inoculation of *Rhizobium*, PSB increase in nitrogen availability in soil leads to increase in content of nitrogen in seed and increase in P availability through solubilization of insoluble native P and production of plant growth promoting substances. Results were similar to **(Singh et al., 2014)**. Significant and higher stover yield was with application of phosphorus might have contributed for better growth of plant as expressed in terms of plant height, number of nodules/plants, dry weight, which improved nutrient uptake, resulted increased in stover

yield. Similar findings were reported by **Choubey et al., (2013)**.

#### **Harvest Index:**

At harvest, maximum harvest index (32.49%) was recorded in Treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha], there was no significant difference among the treatments.

#### **Cost of Cultivation (INR/ha)**

Cost of production (INR 31445/ha) was found to be highest in treatment 8 [PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

#### **Gross return (INR/ha)**

Gross return (INR 97985/ha) was found to be highest in treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

#### **Net return (INR/ha)**

Net return (INR 66560/ha) was found to be highest in treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment.

#### **B: C Ratio**

Benefit Cost Ratio (2.12) was found to be highest in treatment 9 [*Rhizobium* + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha] as compared to other treatment. Similar findings are also recorded by **Devendra Singh and Harendra Singh (2012)**.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

Based on the above findings it can be concluded that Chickpea with the application of *Rhizobium* + PSB along with the application of Phosphorus 60 kg/ha (Treatment 9) was observed highest seed yield, stover yield, gross return, net return and benefit- cost ratio.

#### **REFERENCE**

1. Ali, M. and Kumar, S. (2006). Pulse production in India. Yojana, Sept. pp. 13-15
2. Blackshaw, R. E., Brandt, R. N., Janzen, H. H. and Entz, T. (2004) Weed spices response to phosphorus fertilization. *Weed Science* 52, 406-412.

3. Choubey, S. K., Dwivedi V. P. and Srivastava, N. K. (2013). Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on growth, yield and quality of lentil. *Indian Journal Science and Research* **4**(2):149-150.
4. Devendra Singh and Harendra Singh. (2012). Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on yield, nutrient and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Annals Plant and Soil Research* **14**(1):71-74.
5. GOI, (2020-21). Economics and Statistics of Indian Agriculture. Annual report, Government of India, New Delhi.
6. Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1976). Statistical procedures for agriculture Research, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, John Wiley and Son, New York, 680p.
7. Jukanti, A. K., Gaur, P. M., Gowda, C. L. L. and Chibbar, R. N. (2012). Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): a review. *British Journal of Nutrition*, **108**(S1), S11-S26.
8. Kumar, D., Arvadiya, L. K., Kumawat, A. K., Desai, K. L. and Patel, T. U. (2014). Yield, protein content, nutrient content and uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by graded levels of fertilizers and biofertilizers. *Research Journal of Chemical and Environmental Sciences*, **2**(6), 60-64.
9. Kumar, S., Tripathi, D. K., Bharose, R., Kumar, M. K. R. and Kumar, R. (2016). Effect of different fertility level and micronutrients on nodulation and nutrient uptake by chickpea. *Asian Journal of Soil Science*, **11**(1), 63-65.
10. Parveen, S., Sagir, M. K. and Almas, Z. (2002). Effect of rhizospheric microorganisms on growth and yield of greengram. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **72**(7): 421-423.
11. Singh, R., Pratap, Singh. D., Singh, G. and Singh, A. K. (2018). Effect of phosphorus, Sulphur and biofertilizers on growth attributes and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(2): 3871-3875.
12. Singh, S., Singh, H., Seema, Singh, J. P. and Sharma, V. K. (2014) Effect of integrated use of rock phosphate, molybdenum and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on lentil (*Lens culinaris*) in an alluvial soil. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **59**(3): 433-438
13. Singh, Y., Singh, B. and Kumar, D. (2014). Effect of phosphorus levels and biofertilizer on yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed condition. *Research on Crops*, **15**(1):90-95.

14. Swarnkar, P. K., Bhatt, V. R. and Sao, Y. (2010). Studies on the effect of cobalt, phosphorus and *Rhizobium* on yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Current Trends in Science and Technology* 1: 11-14.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table: 1 Effect of Biofertilizer and Phosphorus on Yield of Chickpea.**

S.No.	Treatment combinations	At Harvest		
		Seed Yield(kg/ha)	Stover Yield(kg/ha)	Harvest Index
1.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha	1163.78	2802.96	29.28
2.	PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha	1195.68	2843.36	29.57
3.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha	1383.03	3086.92	30.94
4.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	1245.45	2925.04	29.95
5.	PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	1355.80	2953.61	31.45
6.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	1416.56	3142.4	31.12
7.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha	1202.97	2912.16	29.26
8.	PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha	1294.87	3040.72	29.87
9.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha	1537.20	3195.12	32.49
10.	Control (NPK 20-50-20 kg/ha)	1145.42	2714.23	29.68
	F-test	S	S	NS
	Sem ( $\pm$ )	54.72	89.90	1.37
	CD (p=0.05)	162.57	267.07	-

**Table: 2 Effect of Biofertilizer and Phosphorus on economics of Chickpea**

S.No.	Treatment combination	Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)	Gross return (INR/ha)	Net return (INR/ha)	B:C ratio
1.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha	29594	76103	46509	1.57
2.	PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha	29634	78006	48372	1.63
3.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB + Phosphorus 20 kg/ha	29614	89219	59605	2.01
4.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	30500	81070	50570	1.66
5.	PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	30540	87100	56560	1.85
6.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB + Phosphorus 40 kg/ha	30520	91286	60766	1.99
7.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha	31405	78739	47334	1.51
8.	PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha	31445	84285	52840	1.68
9.	<i>Rhizobium</i> + PSB + Phosphorus 60 kg/ha	31425	97985	66560	2.12
10.	Control (NPK 20-50-20 kg/ha)	28530	74679	46149	1.62