

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_100555
Title of the Manuscript:	Exact Solutions for Some Singular Linear Ordinary Differential Equations of High Orders via NB1 Polynomials
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This work, although interesting with <b>minor changes</b>, needs to improve.</p> <p>Give some explanation for ZERO-FREE REGION in the work. Also, which kind of data you have used to manipulate the result.. The English writing should be polished. There are some broken sentences, errors and/or typos.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The English writing should be polished. There are some broken sentences errors and typos.</li> <li>➤ The authors have used abbreviations in the manuscript to ensure clear understanding by the researchers.</li> <li>➤ Eq (9) needs some attention. Improve the English throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>➤ Improve abstract only with major concerns</li> <li>➤ Give more detail about the motivation.</li> <li>➤ What is the advantage of proposed study over the existing result..</li> <li>➤ The conclusion should deal with open problems.</li> <li>➤ The authors should provide the reliability and efficacy of the proposed study.</li> </ul> <p>Add more references to the related study to make it more attractive. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2023327">10.3934/math.2023327</a>; DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106405">10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106405</a>; DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X23400716">10.1142/S0218348X23400716</a>; DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2023.01.027">10.1016/j.aej.2023.01.027</a>; DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106211">10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106211</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saima Rashid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Government College University, Pakistan</b>