

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_98759
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE PROXIMATE, SUGAR AND VITAMIN PRESENT IN PEELS, FRUIT AND SEED OF AVOCADO PEARS AND GREEN MANGO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes. It may be useful manuscript for the scientific community. The title seems to be describing the scope of the analysis, but it could be made more specific and informative. The title may be "Proximate Analysis, Sugar Content, and Vitamin Composition of Avocado Peel, Fruit, Seed, and Green Mango: A Comparative Study" Yes. It's comprehensive. Yes. subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct. To enhance the effectiveness of manuscript, it would be beneficial to incorporate more recent references. As scientific research advances, new discoveries are made and previous knowledge is updated. Therefore, citing recent publications can provide the most up-to-date information and strengthen the credibility of work. Abbreviations used in the abstract without their full form may not provide clear information and can detract from the quality of manuscript. The objectives of the study indicate a focus on determining the vitamin A and C contents in peels, fruits, and seeds of avocado pear and green mangoes from Awka metropolis. However, it has been reported that vitamins D and E were also analyzed. This mismatch between the objectives and methods of the study may create confusion for readers and weaken the overall impact of the research. It has come to our attention that there are inconsistencies between some of the references cited in the manuscript and their corresponding entries in the reference section. For example, on page 2, Soong et al. (2008) is cited, but in the reference section, the year of publication is listed as 2006. Similarly, Quinones-Islas et al. (2019) is cited as 2013, and Kadar (2010) is listed as 2002 on page 22. Such inconsistencies can cause confusion for readers and may affect the credibility of your research. Therefore, it is important to carefully review and cross-check all references to ensure that they are accurate and up-to-date. On page 27 of the manuscript, the reference to Martinez et al. is incomplete. It is important to provide complete and accurate references to ensure that readers can locate and access the cited sources. References in the reference section of the manuscript are not uniform and do not follow a standard format. In addition, it may be helpful to use reference management software or tools to streamline the process of creating and formatting references, 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>and to ensure that all references are complete and accurate.</p> <p>12. There is an error in the manuscript on page 18, where the table number has been mentioned incorrectly as Table 3 instead of Table 2.</p> <p>13. It is unclear from the manuscript whether any statistical methods were employed for data analysis, and no details regarding statistical analysis are provided. This can have a significant impact on the overall credibility and reliability of research findings.</p> <p>14. The manuscript lacks a description of the procedure used for the determination of vitamins D and E.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and quality of English used in scholarly communication may not be suitable or up to the required standard. It is important to ensure that writing is clear, concise, and free of grammatical errors to effectively communicate the ideas and research findings.	
Optional/General comments	It is important to thoroughly check the manuscript for typographical, grammatical, and scientific errors before submitting it to a journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Vijayabaskaran
Department, University & Country	JKKN College of Pharmacy, The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, India