

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_98759
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE PROXIMATE, SUGAR AND VITAMIN PRESENT IN PEELS, FRUIT AND SEED OF AVOCADO PEARS AND GREEN MANGO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Though the research results were not well presented but the manuscript is averagely rich in scientific discovery</p> <p>2. No. Title is not suitable, need to be reconstructed</p> <p>3. Abstract needs to be totally reconstructed</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the entire manuscript needs to be reconstructed</p> <p>5. Yes. The Manuscript contain information that is scientifically relevant and deserves to be published</p> <p>6. References are okay, though spacing need to be reduced in point size.</p> <p>7. The entire paper was poorly written with a lot of grammatical errors (Poor construction and use of English)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>If proper adjustments are made and every necessary correction effected, the manuscript will be suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The is a good attempt in Scientific Research and should be encouraged</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chibudike Henry
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of Industrial Research, Nigeria