

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_100244
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Organoleptic Evaluation of Nutritious Vegan Cake Premix by using Millet and Sweet potato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • My comments about the manuscript entitled "Development and Organoleptic Evaluation of Nutritious Vegan Cake Premix by using Millet and Sweet potato", are as follow: • The manuscript important for scientific community is fair enough, • The title of the article is suitable, according as the authors suggested in their research. • The introduction section of the manuscript could be improved to be more comprehensive. Some authors have not been mentioned in the reference section such as: "Jideani and Onwnbali 2009"; "Lean and Mohamed, 1999"; "Achaya, 2009"; "Anuradha D, et al.,2009"; and so on. • The subsections and structure of the manuscript have to be upgraded. The keywords section, I suggest to replace the words "sweet potato" and/or "organoleptic properties" by "sensory evaluation", "malted ragi flour" or other keywords to improve the scope of your research in the scientific websites databases. • The introduction section has to include new references and them have to be improved. • For the materials and methods section, I suggest to include better analyses such as texture profile analysis, and/or physicochemical analysis of the cake. The discussion section has to be contrasted with new references. In the conclusion section, what about the future work in your research? • About the question, "do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?" The answer is more or less, the "material and methods" and "results and discussion" sections have to be enhanced. • The references have to be improved, there are not adequate and there are not recent. • About the "sensory evaluation" analysis, did you apply parametric or non-parametric analysis? Why did you use one technique and no the other one? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English language quality of the article is fair for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript entitled "Development and Organoleptic Evaluation of Nutritious Vegan Cake Premix by using Millet and Sweet potato" is fair organized but some proposed changes should be done. Some details in the manuscript, discussion and conclusion sections should be completed. In my standpoint, is accepted with serious major revision.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christian R. Encina Zelada
Department, University & Country	National Agrarian University La Molina, Peru