

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_100462 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MOYNIHAN'S HUMP- DREADFUL HEPATIC ARTERY VARIATION IN LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Hepatobiliary tree is one of the structures where the anatomical variations are most common. They become very important to watch during laparoscopic surgeries. One of them is presence of aberrant Right hepatic artery over the cystic duct, that mimics cystic artery, it is called Moynihan's hump. The wrong identification can lead to injury to right hepatic artery, leading to many complications.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. The author has cited 5 references only. It is advised to incorporate a greater number of references.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Sujan Narayan Agrawal |
| Department, University & Country | Government District Hospital, India |