

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_100462
Title of the Manuscript:	MOYNIHAN'S HUMP- DREADFUL HEPATIC ARTERY VARIATION IN LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article identifies a rare, but well documented anatomic variation. This variation would be of interest to surgeons performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.</p> <p>The title is sufficient.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, but I would suggest edits to Aims and Presentation of Case sections, as the title suggests a single variation of the hepatic artery is identified at the time of surgery.</p> <p>Subsections appropriate, but Introduction introduces a wide range of gall bladder disease abnormalities, then goes into bladder disease involving gallstones. The Introduction may be streamlined and edited, as a variety of diseases may require cholecystectomy, and the point of the case report is about the anatomic variation noted at the time of surgery. Edits to Case Report also recommended.</p> <p>Scientific information is correct, just would streamline the Introduction.</p> <p>References are timely and sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and English quality needs further editing for clarification and streamlining of this anatomic variation. I would suggest that this is a fine start, but needs further refining to get to publication status.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The photos are key to the case presentation, but the lettered ABCD should be typed rather than hand written.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Randy Mc Cool
Department, University & Country	Northwest Community Hospital, USA