

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJARR_98747 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Role of Learning and Teaching Theories in Preparing Student Teachers to Teach Mathematics and Enhancing Learners' Readiness to Learn: A Case Study in Bia lamplighter College of Education |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>This study explored the application of constructivist and pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) theories in a teacher preparation program and in classroom instruction.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. The authors can include recent articles that are published in the past 3 years as a reference.</p> <p>The presentation and the concept are good. Since there are many studies that confirm the assertion derived from the current study, the authors can describe the novelty of the research work. Moreover, the authors can include the data analysis since they used thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes within the data. Some form of word analysis, word cloud or other related diagrammatic representation that depicts the collected data.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Name: | S. Sathya Bama |
| Department, University & Country | India |