

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100275
Title of the Manuscript:	CHILLING RESISTANCE AND PHYSIO -CHEMICAL CHANGES DURING COLD ACCLIMATION IN FOXTAIL MILLET GENOTYPES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript after published will very effective of researcher in millet crops.</p> <p>2. Title as per your, can be changing otherwise suitable.</p> <p>3. A Abstract is very good, but will be need to small moderate.</p> <p>4. Above correction are completes, will be published this article.</p> <p>5. Minor correction will be need in references.</p> <p>Abstract: The researcher should be mentioned here, according to there to write one paragraph (as per theme) and doing the research work platform is including organizations name. There are your recorded parameters as well used of design and have been recorded here with the following resistance characters due to benefits for researcher & future prospectus and mentioned in genotypes. The present study was concluded to determine and analyze parameters are expose there abstract.</p> <p>Introduction: My suggestion can be a description here first para is right but the author's name or references is not proper (Kumar <i>et al.</i>, 2018). The second paragraph is very long and can be written to vary based on the current research article should be mentioned as per objects & finding hypothetical parameters, which need to be moderate. The statistical finding of millets in India as well as the state of the researcher, can should have mentioned here and references should be moderate. Write to the most important in millet crops.</p> <p>Materials and method: As per hypothetical statistical analysis needs to check along with quantitative parameters analysis as per formula. The research is used in RBD design and needs to check.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The candidate can be mentioned here in the first paragraph of (R/D) finding not mentioned <i>i.e.</i> plant height, and number of productive tillers hill, and can needs to check (table no 1 & 2) along with not properly finding their results portions because only finding here discussion parts. My suggestion is researcher will need to moderate of results & discussion otherwise research articles not completely finding as per guidelines.</p> <p>Conclusion & future outlook will need to require moderate.</p> <p>References are to check and moderate as per your finding.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The scholarly will do the correction after very effective this paper.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Worked are good in millet years.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arvind Kumar
Department, University & Country	AISECT University, India