

## Original Research Article

### **Response of Integrated Nutrients on Soil Health and Yield Attributes of Cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) cv. Neelam**

#### **ABSTRACT**

An experiment was conducted during *zaid* (April- July) season 2022 to study “Response of integrated nutrients on soil health and yield attributes of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) cv. Neelam” on central research farm department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The design applied for statistical analysis was carried out with randomized block design having two factors with three levels of @NPK 0, 50, and 100% ha<sup>-1</sup>, three levels of @Vermicompost 0, 50 and 100% ha<sup>-1</sup>, three levels of Zinc 0, 50 and 100% ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The best treatment was T<sub>9</sub> (100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) has effect on physical and chemical property of soil and yield of cluster bean. The observed in post harvest soil and pre harvest resulted were significantly increased maximum values of percentage pore space (%) (46.533 and 44.404) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth, water holding capacity (41.103 and 40.193%) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth, organic carbon (%) (0.56 and 0.53%) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth, Nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (328.32 and 310.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth, Phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (20.270 and 17.267 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth and Potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (234.893 and 197.617 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth. Plant height at different days 30, 60 and 90 DAS was (23.03, 54.22 and 121.86 cm), No. of leaves per plant at different days 30, 60 and 90 DAS was (29.11, 47.74 and 82.60) Pod length (14.11), number of cluster plant<sup>-1</sup> (6.47), number of pods cluster<sup>-1</sup> (7.47), number of pods per plant (48.35), number of seed pods (9.76), pod weight (g) (2.35). The combination of T<sub>9</sub> (100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) showed slight decrease in pH (7.250 and 7.567) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth, bulk density (Mgm<sup>-3</sup>) (1.172 and 1.462) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth, and particle density (Mgm<sup>-3</sup>) (2.228 and 2.503) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth. The maximum cost benefit ratio (C:B) 1:2.67, maximum gross return 750900.00, maximum net profit 469604.00 ha<sup>-1</sup>, and highest yield 25.03 q ha<sup>-1</sup> with T<sub>9</sub>-(100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

**Keyword:** Soil nutrients, yield attributes, vermicompost, NPK, Zn and Cluster bean

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The agricultural soil supports the crop growth better, if the growing crops are supplied with suitable fertilizers for the growth of all vegetable crops Chavan *et al.*, (2014). *Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* or cluster bean (Guar) belongs to the family Fabaceae (Leguminaceae). It is commercially grown for its seeds as a source of natural polysaccharide (galactomannan), commercially known as guar gum. Guar gum has a number of uses in food Khalil, (2001). Use of inorganic fertilizers alone though increases the production at a faster rate but it may not sustain the productivity in long run and affects soil health. Moreover, inorganic fertilizers are costly and their imbalanced use deteriorate soil physio-chemical environment. On the other hand, organic sources of nutrients are cheaper, ecofriendly, improve soil properties and can substitute nutrient requirement of crops partially. Hence, integrated use of inorganic fertilizers, organic

manures, and low-cost nutrient sources such as biofertilizers is the better option for sustainable production and maintenance of soil health **Parmare et al., (2019)**. The application of vermicompost helps to improve and conserve the fertility of soil. Vermicompost imparts a dark color to the soil and thereby helps to maintain the temperature of soil. Vermicompost is one of the manures used by the farmer in growing crops because of early availability and presence of almost all the nutrients required by plants. The composition of vermicompost is 0.6-1.2% N, 0.13-0.22% P and 0.40-0.75% (K Pawar, 2007) and **Kumar et al., (2018)**. For soils low in available P, the nutrient must be applied in either organic or inorganic P sources to obtain optimal crop yield. However, excessive use of applied P sources can cause eutrophication in water bodies from surface runoff of sediments carrying P or leaching of P in sandy soils (Chien et al., 2011). Vermicomposting is a process in which vermicompost is produced by earthworms and is proving to be an extremely nourishing organic fertilizer and more omnipotent growth promoter over the traditional compost. It has a protective function against the pestiferous chemical fertilizers which destroy the soil richness and affect natural soil fertility adversely. Vermicompost is rich in NPK, micronutrients, crude proteins, beneficial soil microbes, and growth-promoting plant hormones and enzymes **Kumar et al., (2022)**. Vermicompost can withhold nutrients for a longer period of time, while the traditional compost fails to provide the essential amount of macro and micronutrients to plants in a shorter time, the vermicompost does. Vermicompost works as a 'slow-release organic fertilizer' whereas the chemical fertilizers release their nutrients more rapidly in soil and soon get depleted. Nitrogen and phosphorus are not completely available to the roots of the plant in the first year, because nitrogen and phosphorus compost are higher in 'ammonium content' while the vermicompost contains a higher content of 'nitrates' which is an extensively available form of nitrogen to plants. Vermicompost acts as an efficient 'soil conditioner' and its prolonged application over the years leads to the up gradation of soil quality and soil fertility. Vermicompost possesses high-water holding capacity, aeration, and drainage. The soil treated with vermicompost over the years is characterized by near-neutral pH and more electrical conductivity. The high levels of beneficial microbial population in vermicompost paves a way for inhibition of plant pathogens by out-competing plant pathogens for available food resources. Vermicomposting improves the physiochemical and biological properties of the soil and also contributes to organic enrichment **Ansari et al., (2016) and Chauhan and Singh (2013)**. Zn deficient soil can lead to Zn deficiency in human and livestock as well. Such nation communities, having maize as their staple food are prone to the Zn deficiency induced health challenges (Jiang et al., 2008). In order to reverse this trend, method of zinc application is a critical concern. Many researchers found soil application of Zn to be a better alternative of Zn nutrition whereas, some reported foliar application to be beneficial and more zinc fertilizer efficient. It was recently documented that zinc foliar application is a simple way for making quick correction of plant nutritional status, as reported for wheat (**Erenoglu et al., 2002**) and maize (**Grzebisz et al., 2008**).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The investigation on “**Response of integrated nutrients on soil health and yield Attributes of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) cv. Neelam**” comprised of a field experiment which was carried out at the Soil Science Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology, Prayagraj during Zaid season 2022. The experiment was laid out in a RBD design with each three levels of NPK, Vermicompost and Zinc with nine treatments, each consisting of three replicates. The total number of plots was 27. Cluster bean was sown in zaid season plots of size 2 x 2 m with row spacing 15 cm and plant to plant distance 30 cm.

**Table 1. Treatment combinations of cluster bean**

S.No.	TreatmentsNo.	Treatment combinations
1.	T1	Control
2.	T2	0% RDF+0% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 5tha <sup>-1</sup>
3.	T3	0% RDF+0% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 10tha <sup>-1</sup>
4.	T4	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 0 tha <sup>-1</sup>
5.	T5	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 5tha <sup>-1</sup>
6.	T6	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 10tha <sup>-1</sup>
7.	T7	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 0tha <sup>-1</sup>
8.	T8	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 5 tha <sup>-1</sup>
9.	T9	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> +Vermicompost @ 10 tha <sup>-1</sup>

**Physical and chemical analysis**

The soil samples were preserved in polythene bags for analysis of physical and chemical properties.

**Physical analysis**

The physical analysis was done with the help of Bouyoucous Hydrometer method for textural class and copper cylinder method for bulk and particle density. The results of analysis are under.

**Chemical analysis**

The chemical analysis of post sowing was done for pH, Ec, available nitrogen, phosphorus Potassium, organic carbon, and zinc. The results and various methods employed are Represented under the following table.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data recorded during the course of the investigation will subject to statistical analysis by randomized block design (RBD), for drawing conclusion. The significant and non-significant effect will judge with the help of "F" (variance ratio) table. The significant difference between the means will be tested against the critical difference of 5% level. For testing the hypothesis.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION****Effect of Integrated nutrients on physical properties of soil after harvest**

The result of the data depleted that the minimum bulk density ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) (1.172 & 1.462) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and maximum bulk density ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (1.194 and 1.580) respectively. The result of the data depleted that the minimum particle density ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) (2.228 and 2.503) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

and maximum particle density ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (2.377 and 2.600) respectively. The result of the data depleted that the maximum pore space (%) (46.533 and 44.404) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum pore space (%) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (45.703 and 42.900) respectively. The result of the data depleted that the maximum water holding capacity (%) (44.84 and 42.82) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum Available water holding capacity (%) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (41.10 and 40.19) respectively.

#### **Effect of Integrated nutrients on chemical properties of soil after harvest**


The result of the data depleted that the minimum pH (7.250 & 7.567) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and maximum pH was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (7.657 & 7.810) respectively. minimum EC dSm<sup>-1</sup> (0.260 & 0.257) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and maximum EC dSm<sup>-1</sup> was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (0.353 and 0.326) respectively. The result of the data depleted that the maximum Organic carbon (0.56 and 0.53) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum Organic carbon was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (0.32 and 0.30) respectively. maximum Available nitrogen ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) (328.32 and 310.80) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum Available nitrogen ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (280.53 and 271.61) respectively. The result of the data depleted that the maximum Available phosphorus ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) (33.063 and 29.867) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum Available phosphorus ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (20.270 and 17.267) respectively. maximum Available potassium ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) (234.893 and 197.617) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum Available potassium ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (209.330 and 177.427) respectively. The result of the data depleted that the maximum Available zinc ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) (0.95 and 0.82) at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth after harvest was found in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and minimum Available zinc ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) was found in T<sub>1</sub>. (control) which was (0.57 and 0.41) respectively.

#### **Effect of integrated nutrients on growth parameters and yield attributes of cluster bean**

It is indicated from table (4) that nutrient sources significantly improved the growth and yield attributes of cluster bean. Among the nutrient sources 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave highest values of plant height at 90 DAS (121.86), no of leaves per plant at 90 DAS (82.60) pod length (14.17), no of pods per cluster (7.47), pod weight (2.35g), pod yield per plant (113.79g), pod yield per plot (10.01kg), pod yield t ha<sup>-1</sup> (25.03)

**Table 2: Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost and zinc on bd, pd, porespace, Whc, and ph.**


S. No.	Treatments No.	Treatment combinations	Bulk Density (mg m <sup>-3</sup> )		Particle Density (mg m <sup>-3</sup> )		Porespace (%)		Water holding capacity (%)		pH	
			0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
1	T1	Control	1.194	1.580	2.377	2.600	45.703	42.900	41.103	40.193	7.657	7.810
2	T2	0% RDF+0% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 5t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.186	1.551	2.369	2.574	45.907	42.980	42.183	40.550	7.553	7.767
3	T3	0% RDF+0% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 10t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.182	1.499	2.260	2.547	46.317	43.710	42.340	41.663	7.537	7.697
4	T4	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.180	1.502	2.255	2.559	46.307	43.790	41.277	41.107	7.503	7.660
5	T5	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 5t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.178	1.501	2.253	2.556	46.353	43.917	43.170	42.080	7.487	7.663
6	T6	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.176	1.492	2.241	2.533	46.280	44.010	43.670	42.344	7.403	7.633
7	T7	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 0t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.177	1.498	2.240	2.554	46.356	44.021	41.550	41.383	7.400	7.657
8	T8	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 5t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.175	1.479	2.234	2.526	46.453	44.327	44.630	42.518	7.367	7.603
9	T9	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.172	1.462	2.228	2.503	46.533	44.404	44.840	42.827	7.250	7.567
		<b>F-Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
		<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>0.052</b>	<b>0.087</b>	<b>0.043</b>	<b>0.333</b>	<b>0.542</b>	<b>0.235</b>	<b>0.215</b>	<b>0.070</b>	<b>0.067</b>
		<b>S. Ed. (+)</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.041</b>	<b>0.020</b>	<b>0.157</b>	<b>0.256</b>	<b>0.111</b>	<b>0.102</b>	<b>0.033</b>	<b>0.032</b>




**Fig 1. Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost, Zinc on bd, pd. porespace, water holding capacity and ph.**

**Table 3: Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost and zinc on Ec, Oc, N, P, K and Zinc.**

S. No.	Treatments No.	Treatment combinations	EC <sub>dsm</sub> <sup>-1</sup>		Organic carbon (%)		Nitrogen (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Phosphorus (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Potassium (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Zinc (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
			0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm
1	T1	Control	0.353	0.326	0.32	0.30	280.53	271.76	20.270	17.267	209.330	177.427	0.56	0.41
2	T2	0% RDF+0% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @5t ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.294	0.290	0.41	0.39	284.61	273.20	23.790	17.987	212.420	179.267	0.72	0.57
3	T3	0% RDF+0% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @10t ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.284	0.282	0.42	0.40	287.33	272.21	24.930	21.140	214.510	178.960	0.75	0.60
4	T4	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.280	0.279	0.43	0.42	291.42	282.77	27.443	22.693	229.853	185.023	0.61	0.58
5	T5	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @5tha <sup>-1</sup>	0.272	0.270	0.45	0.43	296.23	291.12	29.053	24.340	230.763	187.200	0.81	0.67
6	T6	50% RDF+50% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.267	0.263	0.47	0.41	308.75	301.49	30.027	24.540	231.657	187.570	0.86	0.75
7	T7	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @0t ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.265	0.264	0.46	0.44	313.87	304.98	30.177	25.557	232.107	194.237	0.88	0.78
8	T8	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @5tha <sup>-1</sup>	0.264	0.262	0.54	0.51	325.41	315.27	31.423	27.247	233.803	195.957	0.090	0.79
9	T9	100% RDF+100% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.260	0.257	0.56	0.53	328.32	310.83	33.063	29.867	234.893	197.617	0.95	0.80
		<b>F-Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
		<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	<b>0.044</b>	<b>0.038</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.043</b>	<b>0.274</b>	<b>0.713</b>	<b>0.526</b>	<b>0.713</b>	<b>0.526</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.057</b>
		<b>S. Ed.(+)</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.018</b>	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.129</b>	<b>0.336</b>	<b>0.248</b>	<b>0.336</b>	<b>0.248</b>	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.027</b>




**Fig 2. Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost and zinc on Ec, Organiccarbon.**



**Fig 3. Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost and Zinc on Nitrogen, Phosphorus, potassium, and zinc.**

**Table 4: Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost and zinc on growth and yield attributes of cluster bean.**

S. No	Treatment no	Treatment combination	plant height			no of leaves per plant			pod length	no of pods per cluster	pod wt.	pod yield per plant	pod yield t ha <sup>-1</sup>
			30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS					
1	T1	Control	15.82	33.74	80.81	16.13	25.93	49.65	10.01	5.17	2.05	45.83	10.08
2	T2	0% RDF + 0%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	19.04	47.31	97.75	21.05	31.39	68.74	12.24	6.1	2.2	71.85	15.81
3	T3	0% RDF +0%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	19.25	48.83	102.28	21.93	38.61	71.82	13.01	6.18	2.24	77.73	17.11
4	T4	50% RDF +50%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	18.39	41.42	90.17	17.81	33.41	62.97	11.43	5.84	2.12	64.22	14.13
5	T5	50% RDF +50%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	19.37	50.39	106.77	23.91	40.28	72.91	12.86	6.36	2.26	84.33	18.55
6	T6	50% RDF +50%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	20.35	51.79	115.75	25.75	42.81	75.91	13.17	6.79	2.28	93.21	20.51
7	T7	100% RDF +100%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @ 0 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	18.81	45.86	93.42	19.02	36.19	66.06	12.04	5.64	2.17	61.89	13.62
8	T8	100% RDF +100%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @5 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	21.41	52.61	118.21	27.26	45.51	78.85	13.73	7.34	2.32	105.31	23.17
9	T9	100% RDF +100%ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Vermicompost @10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	23.03	54.22	121.86	29.11	47.74	82.61	14.11	7.47	2.35	113.79	25.03
		<b>F-Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
		<b>C.D.at 0.5%</b>	<b>1.391</b>	<b>2.879</b>	<b>4.167</b>	<b>1.901</b>	<b>2.302</b>	<b>3.142</b>	<b>0.705</b>	<b>0.262</b>	<b>0.031</b>	<b>3.984</b>	<b>0.876</b>
		<b>S. Ed. (+)</b>	<b>0.656</b>	<b>1.358</b>	<b>1.966</b>	<b>0.897</b>	<b>1.086</b>	<b>1.482</b>	<b>0.333</b>	<b>0.124</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>1.879</b>	<b>0.413</b>



**Fig 4. Effect of different levels of NPK, Vermicompost and Zinc on growth and yield attributes.**

## CONCLUSION

On the basis of findings, it is concluded that the treatment combination 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> i.e., Treatment T<sub>9</sub> shows best result on physio-chemical properties of soil analysis after harvest of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) in comparison to other treatment combination. Since the findings are based on the research done in one of season further experiments with more than one season will help in better to study the effect of integrated nutrient on soil health analysis of soil after crop harvest. The minimum bulk density (mg m<sup>-3</sup>), particle density (mg m<sup>-3</sup>), Water holding capacity, pH and EC ds m<sup>-1</sup> was noted in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly superior over T<sub>0</sub> Control. Whereas the maximum pore space (%), organic carbon, available nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Zinc (mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted in 100% RDF+100% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>+ Vermicompost @10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly superior over T<sub>0</sub> Control.

## REFERENCES

- Ansari AA, Jaikishun S, Islam Sk, Kuri KF, Nandwani D (2016) Principles of vermiculture technology in sustainable organic farming with special reference to Bangladesh. In: Nandwani D (eds) Organic farming for sustainable agriculture. Sustainable development and biodiversity, vol 9. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 213-229.
- Chauhan HK, Singh K (2013) Effect of tertiary combinations of animal dung with agro-wastes on the growth and development of earthworm *Eisenia foetida* during organic waste management. *Int J Recy Org Agric*, no. 2, pp. 11.
- Chien, S. H., L. I. Prochnow, S. Tu and C. S. Synder (2011). The agronomic and environmental aspects of phosphate fertilizers varying in source and solubility: an update review. *Nutrient Cycle Agroecosyst* 89:229–255
- Deepa Joshi, K.M. Gediya, J.S. Patel, M.M. Birari and Shivangini Gupta (2016) Effect of organic manure on growth and yield of summer cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] under middle Gujarat conditions. *Agric. Sci. Digest.*, 36(2) 2016: 134-137
- Erenoglu B., Nikolic M., Romhold V., Cakmak I. 2002. Uptake and transport of foliar applied zinc (65Zn) in bread and durum wheat cultivars differing in zinc efficiency. *Plant and Soil*, 241: 251–257.
- Grzebisz W., Wronska M., Datta J.B., Dullin P. 2008. Effect of zinc foliar application at early stages of maize growth on patterns of nutrients and dry matter accumulation by the canopy. Part I. Zinc uptake patterns and its redistribution among maize organs. *Journal of Elementology*, 13: 17-28.
- Jat, R. S. and Ahlawat, I. P. S. (2006) Direct and residual effect of vermicompost, biofertilizers and phosphorus on soil nutrient dynamics and productivity of chickpea-fodder maize sequence. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 28(1):41-54...
- Jiang W., Struik P.C., Van Kuelen H., Jin L.N., Stromph T.J. 2008. Does increase zinc uptake enhance grain zinc mass concentration in rice? *Annals of Applied Biology* 153: 135-147
- Kherawat, B.S., Munnalal, Agarwal, M., Yadav, H.K. and Kumar, S. (2013) Effect of Applied Potassium and Manganese on Yield and Uptake of Nutrients by Cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*). *Journal of*

- Agricultural Physics*13(1):22-26.
- Kumar Aneesh, PathaniaAshvika, Deepali, Dhiman Isha, KatochShivani (2022). Vermicomposting; Physical and chemical analysis of organic waste composts. International Journal for Research Trends and Innovation
- Kumar Vinod Prajapati and Narendra Swaroop. 2018. Effect of Different Levels of NPK and Vermicompost on Physico-Chemical Properties of Maize [*Zea mays* (L.)] Cv. MM2255. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(02): 1405-1410.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watnahe, F.S. and Dean, L.A. (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate *U.S. Deptt. Agr. Circ.* pp939.
- Parmar SK, Satodiya BN and Thakur Komal (2019). Effect of spacing and integrated nutrient management on yield attributes, yield, and quality of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub) cv. Pusa Navbahar. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2019;7(5):2502-2505
- Sajid, M., Ahmed, I., and Rab, A. (2009) Effect of nitrogen level on the yield and yield component of guar gum (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Am, Eurasian J. Sustain Agric* 3(1):29-32.
- Subbiah, B. V., and Asija, C. L., (1956) A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current sci.* 25: pp259-260.
- Toth, S. J. and Prince, A. L. (1949) Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. *Soil Sci.* 67:439-445.
- Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. (1947) Critical examination of a rapid method for determining organic carbon in soils, effect of variance in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. *Soil sci.* pp.632:251.