

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100686
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN DRY LANDS OF MADURI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Yes 2) Yes 3) Yes 4) Need revision to get better understanding about the study such as methodology, result, and discussion 5) Yes 6) Only 6 references? Please add more, especially at discussion part.</p> <p>Abstract : Please spell "FYM"</p> <p>Objectives: Please add at the ending of introduction as general objective. No need to write specific objectives in the manuscript.</p> <p>Methodology: Instead of describing many sub-topics (model), it will be better to describe on how this study was conducted, how the sample size are calculated, what is the study design used in this study, the tools/instrument for the data collection, data collection procedure, data analysis method.</p> <p>Result: since this study included 150 farmers, it will be better to describe the demographic characteristics of the studied participants first followed by other results.</p> <p>Discussion: better to separate from the results to get better understanding about this study.</p> <p>References: not enough!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thandar Soe Sumaiyah Jamaludin
Department, University & Country	International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia