

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99989
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphopedological characteristics of soils under shea trees in the Bouna region of northeast Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. 1. It should be of great interest to the scientific community because of the great nutritional value of the shea tree. Exploration about its growth, and characteristics of soil needed for it will attract people.</p> <p>2. Title is comprehensive</p> <p>3. Abstract looks like a part of introduction section. Unnecessary discussion should be avoided. Only provide results, discussion, and conclusion in shorter form.</p> <p>4. Section 3 (results), and section 4 (discussion) should be merged in one section instead of separate</p> <p>5. It has lot of writing mistakes. At some pints there are extra spaces between words. Moreover, English should also be revised because of several grammatic mistakes. Introduction section describes about only importance of shea tree, but no information about importance of soil. As title of this manuscript is about soil, so more emphasis should be on soil rather than shea tree.</p> <p>6. References are balanced.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It has lot of writing mistakes. At some pints there are extra spaces between words. Moreover, English should also be revised because of several grammatic mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Results and discussions should be according to the title</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zaheer Afzal
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology of China, China