

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_100147
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence, Types, and Steroid-Associated Risk Factors of Cataracts in Patients with Common Rheumatic Diseases: A Cross-Sectional, Hospital-Based Study in Rajshahi, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is very important.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes, but some need to be changed.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.2 Selection of participants - Describe more about the characteristics of the patients included in the study. Such as sex, skin color, average age... <p>And right here add the excluded ones.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "2.6 Consent" – Go to the end of the methodology. • "2.7 Data Collection and Methodology" – Go to the beginning of the methodology, as it describes more thepatient profile. It can replace the 2.3. • Combine in the methodology description: Statistical Analysis + Statistical Analysis Formula. Do not subdivide, as it becomes repetitive. • This excerpt: "The present study aimed to analyze the distribution of age, gender, and ocular manifestations in rheumatologic disorders and their relationship to cataract types and steroid use." – Goes to the end of the introduction, and is at the beginning of the discussion. • This excerpt: "The most common ocular manifestations include dry eye, uveitis, and retinopathy, with posterior subcapsular cataracts being the most commonly occurring type of cataract." – Leaves the conclusion, as it is already in the introduction. <p>In the conclusion, describe more about what you conclude.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Format as references. Mainly, like number 2, 3, 6, 8, 10 and 13. Because they don't have the year of publication and/or page number. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalinca da Silva Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre, Brazil