

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_99719
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Entrepreneurship Education for the Acquisition of Entrepreneurial Competencies among Tertiary Institution Students in Kogi State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Overall, I found this article to be a valuable contribution to the scientific community. The research methods were sound and the conclusions were well-supported by the data. The topic is also relevant to current issues in the field.</p> <p>2. I may suggest changing 'Exploring' to 'Evaluating' or 'Assessing' because 'Exploring' is normally used for qualitative research.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Please see Table 1. The source is not mentioned as in Tables 2 and 3. Please see Table 8. The notes are not in same font size as in previous Tables 6 and 7.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Please ensure that the references follow APA citation guidelines, as some are missing periods and others are written in capital letters. Remove all hyperlinks. Kindly refer to the highlighted texts.</p> <p>Kindly review the format of the entire manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The paper is deemed acceptable, but some corrections need to be made prior to resubmission.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Nurhayati Khairatun
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia