

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_100282
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxic or septic myocarditis in a patient suffering from aplastic anemia
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The authors report the complications and side effects of a drug in a patient in whom the risk of infection is also high</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, it is, but the author must report the patient's course and death. They are too many keywords</p> <p>Yes, they are. Some corrections need to be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the case presentation, it is necessary to report the echocardiography result (state of mitral and tricuspid valves, and left ventricular) carried out before treatment. - In the figures, it is essential to indicate the anomalies with an arrow. - The authors must report blood and sputum culture (if this had been the case) - List the other multivisceral disorders that the patient presented intensive unit care. - The chest X-ray is not reported in the observation. <p>In references, we don't find recent definitions of septic states and septic shock (2016)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Check abbreviations and punctuation	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guenifi Wahiba
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif 1, Algeria