

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_99833
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical Investigation of the Anti-corrosive effect of Spondias Mombin on the Corrosion of Aluminium Alloy (AA2024) and Mild Steel in 0.5 M of NaCl
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																				
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Lot of work has been done in acidic corrosive media but not much work has been done in NaCl.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Manuscript has certain grammatical and chemistry mistakes which have been highlighted. some of them are;</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Incorrect</td> <td>correct</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1 of</td> <td>delete</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 application</td> <td>applications</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 aluminium alloy physical features</td> <td>physical features of aluminium alloy</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 intermational</td> <td>international</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 1M Hcl</td> <td>0.5M Hcl</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 Ve</td> <td>??</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7 ml</td> <td>mL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8 Fig 2.3</td> <td>Fig 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9 concentration of NaCl is not clear it is 3.5% or 0.5M .Make it clear</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>References are sufficient</p>	Incorrect	correct	1 of	delete	2 application	applications	3 aluminium alloy physical features	physical features of aluminium alloy	4 intermational	international	5 1M Hcl	0.5M Hcl	6 Ve	??	7 ml	mL	8 Fig 2.3	Fig 2	9 concentration of NaCl is not clear it is 3.5% or 0.5M .Make it clear		
Incorrect	correct																					
1 of	delete																					
2 application	applications																					
3 aluminium alloy physical features	physical features of aluminium alloy																					
4 intermational	international																					
5 1M Hcl	0.5M Hcl																					
6 Ve	??																					
7 ml	mL																					
8 Fig 2.3	Fig 2																					
9 concentration of NaCl is not clear it is 3.5% or 0.5M .Make it clear																						
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality is not very good. Some corrections are required.																					
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Manuscript is suitable for publication after above mentioned corrections																					

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. K. Upadhyay
Department, University & Country	Government College, India