

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_99833
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical Investigation of the Anti-corrosive effect of Spondias Mombin on the Corrosion of Aluminium Alloy (AA2024) and Mild Steel in 0.5 M of NaCl
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The manuscript doesn't include any new techniques like contact angle measurement, HOMO LUMO concept. The authors have not mentioned about the active component present in the plant extract and which compound is forming the protective layer. The paper is very simple. The quantum of work done is very low and is not enough to be published as a paper. They should prove the inhibition efficiency of the inhibitor by doing some more research using other techniques.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some more new techniques can be included in the work</p> <p>No. Some more evidences are needed</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript can be accepted after some more studies are included .	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>C. Mary Anbarasi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jayaraj Annapackiam College for Women (A), India</b>