

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83011
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON BETWEEN MODIFIED TRIANGULAR FLAP AND ENVELOP FLAP TECHNIQUES FOR SURGICAL REMOVAL OF IMPACTED THIRD MOLAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please adjust the procedure for writing an abstract, consisting of an introduction, case report, discussion, and conclusion. 2. Please add a more complete discussion section. For example: possible side effects such as dry sockets and their handling. Please explain the other types of flaps, the reasons for choosing these two flaps in this case report.. 3. References are not updated, in fact there is a literature with the year 1988. Please update. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please use paragraphs, indented. 2. One paragraph must consist of > 1 sentence 3. Please pay attention to the use of capital letters 4. Inclusion of the name is enough on the literature number (Van Couver style) 5. Criteria made into a long sentences, not per point. 6. Pay attention to the writing for the table. 	
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting case report that allows us to compare the effectiveness of the envelope flap with the triangular flap.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeffrey
Department, University & Country	Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, Indonesia