

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81887
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES (KAP) TOWARDS COVID-19 AMONG THE GENERAL PUBLIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The general focus of the article is really interesting; anyway, authors should consider introducing some changes before publishing their article:</p> <p>TITLE. Please consider to change the title of the paper. It would be more accurate from the technical point of view: "Knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) about <i>Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2</i> among general population.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It has been used an excessive number of "●" symbols preceding paragraphs. - Moreover, an excessive number of paragraphs has been used in the introduction. - Please consider to produce a more consistent text by reducing the number of paragraphs and symbols. - Some expressions are not admitted in a technical publication. For instance, "The virus that causes COVID-19 is mainly". The virus has its own scientific name: <i>Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2</i> <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <p>-The description of methodology is poor and unspecific. Please, consider implementing a more thorough description of material and methods.</p> <p>-It must be mentioned clearly that the study is merely descriptive.</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>The results have been presented merely by tables and graphs but these results have not been described.</p> <p>Sometimes, the authors present the same result twice or more times. For example the information provided by table 2 is the same that graph 2.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>The discussion part of the article must be fully redacted according to the previously mentioned comments.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The redaction and grammar of the full article should be revised.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús Delgado Naranjo
Department, University & Country	University of The Basque Country UPV/EHU, Spain