

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_102141
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Acalypha wilkesiana on Oxidative Stress and Histopathology of Liver and Kidney in Alloxan-induced Diabetic Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Introduction:</p> <p>Thu,s, correction required</p> <p>Materials and Methods:</p> <p>Few sentences need to be checked for Grammar mistakes</p> <p>Results:</p> <p>GSH (ug/ml)???????? GPx (ug/ml)???????? MDA (umol/ml)????? What is ug and umol use appropriate abbreviation</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>This part need to be Rewritten because if the work is Research then References need not be present, if your work is Review then in Discussion write References because in Discussion part Discuss on only the Results obtained for your work</p> <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Ansari NM, Houlihan I, Hussain B and Pieroni, A. Antioxidant activity of five vegetables traditionally consumed by South-Asian migrants in Bradford, Yorkshire, UK. <i>Phytother. Res.</i>, 2005; 19: 907-911. Omage K, Azeke MA, and Omage SO. Evaluation of the efficacy of <i>Acalypha wilkesiana</i> leaves in managing cardiovascular disease risk factors in rabbits exposed to salt-loaded diets, <i>Omage et al. Clinical Phytoscience</i>; 2018; 4:1 Omage K, and Azeke AM. Medicinal potential of <i>Acalypha wilkesiana</i> leaves. <i>Advances in Research (AIR)</i>; 2014; 2(11):655–65. Enwa FO. A preliminary study on antibacterial efficacy of the Methanolic extract of <i>Acalypha wilkesiana</i> leaves against selected clinical isolates. <i>Adv Life Sci Technol.</i>; 2014; 18:72–6. Pavlakou P, Liakopoulos V, Eleftheriadis T, Mitsis M and Dounousi E. Oxidative Stress and Acute Kidney Injury in Critical Illness: Pathophysiologic Mechanisms–Biomarkers– 	

Review Form 1.7

	Interventions, and Future Perspectives. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity, 2017; Volume 2017, Article ID 6193694, 11 pages. References not in uniform format check page numbers as per above 4 examples are they uniform This section need to be Rewritten	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgaprasad Kemiseti
Department, University & Country	Assam Down Town University, India